

# ICSOFT 2007

Second International Conference on  
Software and Data Technologies

## Proceedings

BARCELONA, SPAIN • July 22-25, 2007

Volume: Software Engineering

ORGANIZED BY



SPONSORED BY



IN COOPERATION WITH



Copyright © 2007 INSTICC – Institute for Systems and Technologies of  
Information, Control and Communication  
All rights reserved

Edited by Joaquim Filipe, Markus Helfert and Boris Shishkov

Printed in Portugal

ISBN: 978-989-8111-06-7

Depósito Legal: 261299/07

<http://www.icsoft.org>

[secretariat@icsoft.org](mailto:secretariat@icsoft.org)

# BRIEF CONTENTS

---

INVITED SPEAKERS.....	IV
SPECIAL SESSION CHAIRS .....	V
ORGANIZING AND STEERING COMMITTEES .....	VI
PROGRAM COMMITTEE .....	VII
AUXILIARY REVIEWERS .....	X
SELECTED PAPERS BOOK .....	XII
CO-SPONSOR.....	XII
FOREWORD.....	XIII
CONTENTS.....	XV

# INVITED SPEAKERS

---

**Jan Dietz**

Delft University of Technology

The Netherlands

**David Lorge Parnas**

University of Limerick

Ireland

**Kalle Lyytinen**

Case Western Reserve University

Canada

**Stephen Mellor**

Australia

**Bart Nieuwenhuis**

K4B Innovation / University of Twente

The Netherlands

**Tony Shan**

Bank of America

USA

**Brian Fitzgerald**

Lero - the Irish Software Engineering Research Centre

Ireland

# SPECIAL SESSION CHAIRS

---

## SPECIAL SESSION ON METAMODELLING – UTILIZATION IN SOFTWARE ENGINEERING (MUSE)

**Cesar Gonzalez-Perez**, Neco, Spain

**Brian Henderson-Sellers**, University of Technology, Australia

## SPECIAL SESSION ON E-HEALTH SERVICES AND TECHNOLOGIES (EHST)

**Dimitri Konstantas**, University of Geneva, Switzerland

**Boris Shishkov**, University of Twente, The Netherlands

# DOCTORAL CONSORTIUM

---

## DOCTORAL CONSORTIUM CHAIR

**Markus Helfert**, Dublin City University, Ireland

# ORGANIZING AND STEERING COMMITTEES

---

## CONFERENCE CHAIR

Joaquim Filipe, Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal / INSTICC, Portugal

## PROGRAM CO-CHAIRS

Markus Helfert, Dublin City University, Ireland

Boris Shishkov, University of Twente, The Netherlands

## PROCEEDINGS PRODUCTION

Vera Coelho, INSTICC, Portugal

Andreia Costa, INSTICC, Portugal

Bruno Encarnação, INSTICC, Portugal

Luís Marques, INSTICC, Portugal

Vitor Pedrosa, INSTICC, Portugal

Vera Rosário, INSTICC, Portugal

## CD-ROM PRODUCTION

Paulo Brito, INSTICC, Portugal

## WEBDESIGNER

Marina Carvalho, INSTICC, Portugal

## GRAPHICS PRODUCTION

Helder Coelhas, INSTICC, Portugal

## SECRETARIAT AND WEBMASTER

Mónica Saramago, INSTICC, Portugal

# PROGRAM COMMITTEE

---

**Jemal Abawajy**, Deakin University, Australia

**Silvia Abrahão**, Valencia University of Technology, Spain

**Muhammad Abulaish**, Jamia Millia Islamia (A Central University), India

**Hamideh Afsarmanesh**, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

**Jacky Akoka**, CNAM, France

**Rafa Al Qutaish**, École de Technologie Supérieure - University of Quebec, Canada

**Markus Aleksy**, University of Mannheim, Germany

**Tsanka Petrova Angelova**, Uniccord Ltd., Bulgaria

**Keijiro Araki**, Kyushu University, Japan

**Alex Aravind**, University of Northern British Columbia, Canada

**Colin Atkinson**, University of Mannheim, Germany

**Juan Carlos Augusto**, University of Ulster at Jordanstown, U.K.

**Elisa Baniassad**, Chinese University of Hong Kong, China

**Luciano Baresi**, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

**Joseph Barjis**, Georgia Southern University, U.S.A.

**Bernhard Beckert**, University of Koblenz, Germany

**Noureddine Belkhatir**, University of Grenoble, France

**Fevzi Belli**, University Paderborn, Germany

**Alexandre Bergel**, Hasso-Plattner Institut, Germany

**Sue Black**, University of Westminster, U.K.

**Maarten Boasson**, Universiteit van Amsterdam, The Netherlands

**Wladimir Bodrow**, University of Applied Sciences Berlin, Germany

**Marcello Bonsangue**, University of Leiden, The Netherlands

**Pere Botella**, Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya, Spain

**Lisa Brownsword**, Software Engineering Institute, U.S.A.

**Gerardo Canfora**, University of Sannio, Italy

**Cinzia Cappiello**, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

**Antonio Cerone**, United Nations University, China

**W. K. Chan**, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

**Shiping Chen**, CSIRO ICT Centre, Australia

**T. Y. Chen**, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia

**Kung Chen**, National Chengchi University, Taiwan, Province Of China

**Samuel Chong**, Accenture, U.K.

**Peter Clarke**, Florida International University, U.S.A.

**Rolland Colette**, Université Paris 1 Panthéon Sorbonne, France

**Rem Collier**, University College Dublin, Ireland

**Kendra Cooper**, The University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

**Alfredo Cuzzocrea**, University of Calabria, Italy

**Bogdan Czejdo**, Loyola University, U.S.A.

**Mehdi Dastani**, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

**Sergio de Cesare**, Brunel University, U.K.

**Clever de Farias**, University of São Paulo, Brazil

**Rogério de Lemos**, University of Kent, U.K.

**Andrea De Lucia**, Università di Salerno, Italy

**Serge Demeyer**, Universiteit Antwerpen, Belgium

**Steven Demurjian**, University of Connecticut, U.S.A.

**Elisabetta Di Nitto**, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

**Massimiliano Di Penta**, University of Sannio, Italy

**Nikolay Diakov**, Fredhopper B.V., The Netherlands

**Oscar Dieste**, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain

**Jan L. G. Dietz**, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

**Jin Song Dong**, National University of Singapore, Singapore

**Jing Dong**, University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

**Brian Donnellan**, National University of Ireland, Ireland

**Juan C. Dueñas**, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain

**Jürgen Ebert**, Universität Koblenz-Landau, Germany

## PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

---

**Paul Ezhilchelvan**, University of Newcastle, U.K.

**Behrouz Far**, University of Calgary, Canada

**Massimo Felici**, The University of Edinburgh, U.K.

**Rudolf Ferenc**, University of Szeged, Hungary

**Juan Fernandez-Ramil**, The Open University, U.K.

**Bernd Fischer**, University of Southampton, U.K.

**Gerald Gannod**, Miami University, U.S.A.

**Jose M. Garrido**, Kennesaw State University, U.S.A.

**Dragan Gasevic**, Athabasca University, Canada

**Nikolaos Georgantas**, INRIA, France

**Paola Giannini**, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Italy

**John Paul Gibson**, Institut National des Télécommunications, France

**Holger Giese**, University of Paderborn, Germany

**Karl Goeschka**, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

**Swapna Gokhale**, University of Connecticut, U.S.A.

**Jose Ramon Gonzalez de Mendivil**, Universidad Publica de Navarra, Spain

**Jesus M. Gonzalez-Barahona**, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Spain

**Daniela Grigori**, University of Versailles, France

**Klaus Grimm**, Daimlerchrysler AG, Germany

**Yann-Gaël Guéhéneuc**, University of Montreal, Canada

**Tibor Gyimothy**, University of Szeged, Hungary

**Michael Hanus**, University of Kiel, Germany

**Naohiro Hayashibara**, Tokyo Denki University, Japan

**Reiko Heckel**, University of Leicester, U.K.

**Christian Heinlein**, University of Ulm, Germany

**Markus Helfert**, Ireland

**Rattikorn Hewett**, Texas Tech University, U.S.A.

**Jang-Eui Hong**, Chungbuk National University, Republic of Korea

**Shinichi Honiden**, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, University of Tokyo, Japan

**Ilian Ilkov**, IBM Nederland B.V., The Netherlands

**Ivan Ivanov**, State University of New York, Empire State College, U.S.A.

**Stephen Jarvis**, University of Warwick, U.K.

**Damir Kalpic**, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing, Croatia

**Krishna Kavi**, University of North Texas, U.S.A.

**Taghi Khoshgoftaar**, Florida Atlantic University, U.S.A.

**Roger (Buzz) King**, University of Colorado, U.S.A.

**Paul Klint**, Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica, The Netherlands

**Alexander Knapp**, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany

**Mieczyslaw Kokar**, Northeastern University, U.S.A.

**Rainer Koschke**, University of Bremen, Germany

**Jens Krinke**, FernUniversität in Hagen, Germany

**Padmanabhan Krishnan**, Bond University, Australia

**Martin Kropp**, University of Applied Sciences Northwestern Switzerland, Switzerland

**Tei-Wei Kuo**, National Taiwan University, Taiwan, Province of China

**Yvan Labiche**, Carleton University, Canada

**Michele Lanza**, University of Lugano, Switzerland

**Eitel Lauria**, Marist College, U.S.A.

**Insup Lee**, University of Pennsylvania, U.S.A.

**Jonathan Lee**, National Central University, Taiwan, Province of China

**Yu Lei**, The University of Texas at Arlington, U.S.A.

**Hareton Leung**, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong

**Kuan-Ching Li**, Providence University, Taiwan, Province of China

**Man Lin**, St. Francis Xavier University, Canada

**Panos Linos**, Butler University, U.S.A.

**Hua Liu**, Xerox Corp., U.S.A.

**Chengfei Liu**, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia

**David Lorenz**, University of Virginia, U.S.A.

**Christof Lutteroth**, University of Auckland, New Zealand



## PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

---

**Jianhua Ma**, Hosei University, Japan

**Broy Manfred**, Technische Universität München, Germany

**Tiziana Margaria**, University Potsdam, Germany

**Katsuhisa Maruyama**, Ritsumeikan University, Japan

**Johannes Mayer**, Ulm University, Germany

**Tommaso Mazza**, University Magna Græcia of Catanzaro, Italy

**Fergal McCaffery**, University of Limerick, Ireland

**Hamid Mccheick**, University of Quebec at Chicoutimi, Canada

**Massimo Mecella**, SAPIENZA - Università di Roma, Italy

**Karl Meinke**, Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden

**Simão Melo de Sousa**, Universidade da Beira Interior (UBI), Portugal

**Emilia Mendes**, The University of Auckland, New Zealand

**Manoel Mendonça**, Salvador University, Brazil

**Raffaella Mirandola**, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

**Hristo Mirkov**, MorganStanley, U.S.A.

**Prasenjit Mitra**, Pennsylvania State University, U.S.A.

**Dimitris Mitrakos**, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

**Birger Møller-Pedersen**, University of Oslo, Norway

**Mattia Monga**, Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy

**Sandro Morasca**, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, Italy

**Maurizio Morisio**, Politecnico di Torino, Italy

**Markus Müller-Olm**, WWU Münster, Germany

**Paolo Nesi**, University of Florence, Italy

**Alan O'Callaghan**, De Montfort University, U.K.

**Rory O'Connor**, Dublin City University, Ireland

**Pasi Ojala**, Nokia, Finland

**Claus Pahl**, Dublin City University, Ireland

**Witold Pedrycz**, University of Alberta, Canada

**Steve Peters**, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

**Mario Piattini**, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

**Martin Pinzger**, University of Zurich, Switzerland

**Lori Pollock**, University of Delaware, U.S.A.

**Andreas Polze**, Hasso-Plattner-Institute for Software Engineering at University Potsdam, Germany

**Peter Popov**, City University, U.K.

**Wenny Rahayu**, La Trobe University Australia, Australia

**Jolita Ralyte**, University of Geneva, Switzerland

**Anders P. Ravn**, Aalborg University, Denmark

**Marek Reformat**, University of Alberta, Canada

**Arend Rensink**, University of Twente, The Netherlands

**Werner Retschitzegger**, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria

**Gustavo Rossi**, LIFIA, Argentina

**Guenther Ruhe**, University of Calgary, Canada

**Stefano Russo**, Università di Napoli Federico II, Italy

**Mortaza S. Bargh**, Telematica Instituut, The Netherlands

**Shazia Sadiq**, University of Queensland, Australia

**Francesca Saglietti**, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany

**Bernhard Schätz**, TU München, Germany

**Douglas Schmidt**, Vanderbilt University, U.S.A.

**Andy Schürr**, Darmstadt University of Technology, Germany

**Isabel Seruca**, Universidade Portucalense, Portugal

**Samir Shah**, Penn State University, U.S.A.

**Boris Shishkov**, University of Twente, The Netherlands

**Harvey Siy**, University of Nebraska at Omaha, U.S.A.

**Jacob Slonim**, Dalhousie University, Canada

**George Spanoudakis**, City University, U.K.

**Peter Stanchev**, Kettering University, U.S.A.

**Nenad Stankovic**, University of Aizu, Japan

**Larry Stapleton**, ISOL Research Centre, Ireland

## PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

---

**Richard Starmans**, Utrecht University,  
The Netherlands

**Leon Sterling**, University of Melbourne, Australia

**Junichi Suzuki**, University of Massachusetts, Boston,  
U.S.A.

**Ramayah T.**, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia

**Yarar Tonta**, Hacettepe University, Turkey

**Mark van den Brand**, Technical University of  
Eindhoven, The Netherlands

**Marten van Sinderen**, University of Twente,  
The Netherlands

**Enrico Vicario**, University of Florence, Italy

**Aurora Vizcaino**, University of Castilla-La Mancha,  
Spain

**Christoph von Praun**, IBM Research, U.S.A.

**Christiane Gresse von Wangenheim**, UNIVALI,  
Brazil

**Bing Wang**, University of Hull, U.K.

**Edgar Weippl**, Secure Business Austria, Austria

**Danny Weyns**, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven,  
Belgium

**Ing Widya**, University of Twente, The Netherlands

**Dietmar Wikarski**, Fachhochschule Brandenburg -  
University of Applied Sciences, Germany

**Hongwei Xi**, Boston University, U.S.A.

**Haiping Xu**, University of Massachusetts Dartmouth,  
U.S.A.

**Hongji Yang**, De Montfort University, U.K.

**Tuba Yavuz-Kahveci**, University of Florida, U.S.A.

**Rym Zalila Mili**, University of Texas at Dallas,  
U.S.A.

**Kang Zhang**, University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

**Du Zhang**, California State University, U.S.A.

**Xiaokun Zhang**, Athabasca University, Canada

**Jianjun Zhao**, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China

**Hong Zhu**, Oxford Brookes University, U.K.

**Andrea Zisman**, City University, U.K.

## AUXILIARY REVIEWERS

---

**Jonatan Alava**, Florida International University,  
U.S.A.

**David Arney**, University of Pennsylvania, U.S.A.

**Louise Avila**, University of Pennsylvania, U.S.A.

**Djuradj Babich**, Florida International University,  
U.S.A.

**Tibor Bakota**, University of Szeged, Hungary

**Nurlida Basir**, University of Southampton, U.K.

**Massimo Canonico**, Università del Piemonte  
Orientale, Italy

**Glauco Carneiro**, Salvador University (UNIFACS),  
Brazil

**Su-Ying Chang**, Department of Computer Science  
and Information Engineering, National Taiwan,  
Taiwan

**Shih-Chun Chou**, Department of Computer Science  
and Information Engineering, National Taiwan,  
Taiwan

**Daniela Cruzes**, State University of Campinas  
(UNICAMP), Brazil

**Marco D'Ambros**, University of Lugano, Switzerland

**Florian Deissenböck**, TU Muenchen, Germany

**Daniele Theseider Duprè**, Università del Piemonte  
Orientale, Italy

**Lavinia Egidi**, Università del Piemonte Orientale,  
Italy

**Ekaterina Ermilove**, University of Amsterdam,  
The Netherlands

**Hua-Wei Fang**, Department of Computer Science and  
Information Engineering, National Taiwan, Taiwan

**Massimo Ficco**, CINI Lab "C. Savy", Italy

**Christina von Flach**, Federal University of Bahia  
(UFBA), Brazil

**Rita Francese**, University of Salerno, Italy

**Lajos Fulop**, University of Szeged, Hungary

**Lajos Jenő Fülöp**, University of Szeged, Hungary

**Udo Gleich**, Daimler Chrysler AG, Germany

**Leonardo Grassi**, University of Florence, Italy

## AUXILIARY REVIEWERS (CONT.)

---

**Andreas Griesmayer**, United Nations University,  
Macau SAR China

**Ralph Guderlei**, Ulm University, Germany

**Michael Haupt**, Software Architecture Group, Hasso  
Plattner Institute, Germany

**Stefan Henkler**, University of Paderborn, Germany

**Martin Hirsch**, University of Paderborn, Germany

**Florian Hoelzl**, TU Muenchen, Germany

**Bernhard Hohlfeld**, Daimler Chrysler AG, Germany

**Endre Horváth**, University of Szeged, Hungary

**Ping-Yi Hsu**, Department of Computer Science and  
Information Engineering, National Taiwan, Taiwan

**Judit Jasz**, University of Szeged, Hungary

**Joop de Jong**, Delft University of Technology,  
The Netherlands

**Elrmar Juergens**, TU Muenchen, Germany

**Madhan Karky**, The University of Queensland,  
Australia

**Steven van Kervel**, Delft University of Technology,  
The Netherlands

**Tariq M. King**, Florida International University,  
U.S.A.

**Peter Lammich**, Westfälischen  
Wilhelms-Universität, Germany

**Massimiliano de Leoni**, University Roma, Italy

**Martin Leucker**, TU Muenchen, Germany

**Yun-Hao Li**, Department of Computer Science and  
Information Engineering, National Taiwan, Taiwan

**Adrian Lienhard**, Software Composition Group,  
University of Bern, Switzerland

**Ruopeng Lu**, The University of Queensland, Australia

**Heng Lu**, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

**Viviane Malheiros**, University of São Paulo (USP),  
Brazil

**Sergio Di Martino**, University of Salerno, Italy

**Michael Meisinger**, TU Muenchen, Germany

**Samar Mouchawrab**, Carleton University, Canada

**Simon S. Msanjila**, University of Amsterdam,  
The Netherlands

**Sudarsanan Nesmony**, The University of  
Queensland, Australia

**Joseph Okika**, Aalborg University, Denmark

**Rocco Oliveto**, University of Salerno, Italy

**Jennie Palmer**, University of Newcastle, U.K.

**Ignazio Passero**, University of Salerno, Italy

**Gustavo Perez**, University of Southern California  
(USC), U.S.A.

**Christian Pfaller**, TU Muenchen, Germany

**Roberto Pietrantuono**, DIS - Federico II University  
of Naples, Italy

**Dan Ratiu**, TU Muenchen, Germany

**Giancarlo Ruffo**, Università di Torino, Italy

**Ruggero Russo**, University Roma, Italy

**Laís Salvador**, Salvador University (UNIFACS),  
Brazil

**Valeriano Sandrucci**, University of Florence, Italy

**Giuseppe Scanniello**, University of Basilicata, Italy

**Siraj Shaikh**, United Nations University,  
Macau SAR China

**Marwa Shousha**, Carleton University, Canada

**Istvan Siket**, University of Szeged, Hungary

**Carine Souveyet**, Université Paris 1, France

**Michael Sowka**, Carleton University, Canada

**Bas Steunebrink**, Utrecht University,  
The Netherlands

**Tatiana Tavares**, Catholic University of Pelotas  
(UCPel), Brazil

**Matthias Tichy**, University of Paderborn, Germany

**Carlo Torniai**, University of Florence, Italy

**Kun-Yi Tsai**, Department of Computer Science and  
Information Engineering, National Taiwan, Taiwan

**Laszlo Vidacs**, University of Szeged, Hungary

**Stefan Wagner**, TU Muenchen, Germany

**Doris Wild**, TU Muenchen, Germany

**Tao Yue**, Carleton University, Canada

**Zhenyu Zhang**, The University of Hong Kong,  
Hong Kong

**Xiaohui Zhao**, Swinburne University of Technology,  
Australia

# SELECTED PAPERS BOOK

---

A number of selected papers presented at ICSOFT 2007 will be published by Springer, in a book entitled Software and Data Technologies II. This selection will be done by the conference chair and program co-chairs, among the papers actually presented at the conference, based on a rigorous review by the ICSOFT 2007 program committee members.

## CO-SPONSOR

---



# FOREWORD

---

This volume contains the proceedings of the second *International Conference on Software and Data Technologies (ICSOFT 2007)*, organized by the Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control and Communication (*INSTICC*) in cooperation with the Interdisciplinary Institute for Collaboration and Research on Enterprise Systems and Technology (IICREST), and co-sponsored by the Workflow Management Coalition (WfMC).

The purpose of this conference is to bring together researchers, engineers and practitioners interested in information technology and software development. The conference tracks are “*Software Engineering*”, “*Information Systems and Data Management*”, “*Programming Languages*”, “*Distributed and Parallel Systems*” and “*Knowledge Engineering*”.

Software and data technologies are essential for developing any computer information system, encompassing a large number of research topics and applications: from programming issues to the more abstract theoretical aspects of software engineering; from databases and data-warehouses to management information systems and knowledge-base systems; Distributed systems, ubiquity, data quality and other related topics are included in the scope of ICSOFT.

ICSOFT 2007 received 292 paper submissions from more than 56 countries in all continents. To evaluate each submission, a double blind paper evaluation method was used: each paper was reviewed by at least two internationally known experts from ICSOFT Program Committee. Only 41 papers were selected to be published and presented as full papers, i.e. completed work (8 pages in proceedings / 30’ oral presentations), 74 additional papers, describing work-in-progress, were accepted as short paper for 20’ oral presentation, leading to a total of 115 oral paper presentations. There were also 76 papers selected for poster presentation. The full-paper acceptance ratio was thus 14%, and the total oral paper acceptance ratio was 39%.

In its program ICSOFT includes panels to discuss aspects of software development, with the participation of distinguished world-class researchers; furthermore, the program is enriched by several keynote lectures delivered by renowned experts in their areas of knowledge. These high points in the conference program definitely contribute to reinforce the overall quality of the ICSOFT conference, which aims at becoming one of the most prestigious yearly events in its area. This year, ICSOFT was held back-to-back with ENASE (Evaluation of Novel Approaches to Software Engineering) working conference, in a joint effort to offer the research community the best possible environment for discussing and debating innovative aspects of Software Engineering. This was quite a rewarding experience, thanks to ENASE program chairs Leszek Maciaszek and Cesar Gonzalez-Perez and all other ENASE participants.

The program for this conference required the dedicated effort of many people. Firstly, we must thank the authors, whose research and development efforts are recorded here. Secondly, we thank the members of the program committee and the additional reviewers for their diligence and expert reviewing. I would like to personally thank the Program Chairs, namely Boris Shishkov and Markus Helfert, for their important collaboration. The local organizers and the secretariat have worked hard to provide smooth logistics and a friendly environment, so we must thank them all and

especially Ms. Monica Saramago for their patience and diligence in answering many emails and solving all the problems. Last but not least, we thank the invited speakers for their invaluable contribution and for taking the time to synthesize and prepare their talks.

A successful conference involves more than paper presentations; it is also a meeting place, where ideas about new research projects and other ventures are discussed and debated. Therefore, a social event including a conference diner was organized for the evening of July 24 (Tuesday) in order to promote this kind of social networking.

We wish you all an exciting conference and an unforgettable stay in the cosmopolitan city of Barcelona. We hope to meet you again next year for the 3<sup>rd</sup> ICSOFT, to be held in the historic city of Porto (Portugal), details of which will be shortly made available at <http://www.icsoft.org>.

Joaquim Filipe

INSTICC/Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal, Portugal

(Conference Chair)

# CONTENTS

---

## INVITED SPEAKERS

### KEYNOTE LECTURES

ENTERPRISE ONTOLOGY AND THE IDENTIFICATION OF BUSINESS COMPONENTS <i>Jan Dietz</i>	IS-5
DOCUMENT-DRIVEN SOFTWARE DESIGN - A Novel Approach that Should Not Be Novel <i>David Lorge Parnas</i>	IS-7
PRINCIPLES FOR REQUIREMENTS PROCESSES AT THE DAWN OF THE 21 <sup>ST</sup> CENTURY <i>Sean Hansen, Nicholas Berente and Kalle Lyytinen</i>	IS-9
CREATIVITY, AUTOMATION AND TECHNOLOGY <i>Stephen Mellor</i>	IS-27
SERVICE SCIENCE FOR MARKET SERVICES <i>Bart Nieuwenhuis</i>	IS-29
PRACTICAL SOA <i>Tony Shan</i>	IS-31
OPEN SOURCE SOFTWARE ADOPTION IN BEAUMONT HOSPITAL - Anatomy of Success and Failure <i>Brian Fitzgerald</i>	IS-33

## SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

### FULL PAPERS

ROLE-BASED CLUSTERING OF SOFTWARE MODULES - An Industrial Size Experiment <i>Philippe Dugerdil and Sebastien Jossi</i>	5
DETECTING PATTERNS IN OBJECT-ORIENTED SOURCE CODE – A CASE STUDY <i>Andreas Wierda, Eric Dortmans and Lou Somers</i>	13
SPECIFICATION AND PROOF OF LIVENESS PROPERTIES IN B EVENT SYSTEMS <i>Olfa Mosbabi and Jacques Jaray</i>	25
AUTO-COLLEAGUE - A Collaborative Learning Environment for UML <i>Maria Virvou and Kalliopi Tourtoglou</i>	35
USING MBIUI LIFE-CYCLE FRAMEWORK FOR AN AFFECTIVE BI-MODAL USER INTERFACE <i>Katerina Kabassi, Maria Virvou and Efthymios Alepis</i>	40
AN ONTOLOGICAL SW ARCHITECTURE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF COOPERATIVE WEB PORTALS <i>Giacomo Bucci, Valeriano Sandrucci, Enrico Vicario and Saverio Mecca</i>	48

HOW “DEVELOPER STORIES” IMPROVES ARCHITECTURE - Facilitating Knowledge Sharing and Embodiment, and Making Architectural Changes Visible <i>Rolf Njor Jensen, Niels Platz and Gitte Tjørneboj</i>	56
AN AGILE MODEL DRIVEN ARCHITECTURE-BASED CONTRIBUTION TO WEB ENGINEERING <i>Alejandro Gómez Cuesta, Juan Carlos Granja and Rory O'Connor</i>	65
AN INTEGRATED TOOL FOR SUPPORTING ONTOLOGY DRIVEN REQUIREMENTS ELICITATION <i>Motobiro Kitamura, Ryo Hasegawa, Haruhiko Kaiya and Motoshi Saeiki</i>	73
VCODEX: A DATA COMPRESSION PLATFORM <i>Kiem-Phong Vo</i>	81
DIFFERENCING AND MERGING OF SOFTWARE DIAGRAMS - State of the Art and Challenges <i>Sabrina Förtsch and Bernhard Westfichtel</i>	90
MODERN CONCEPTS FOR HIGH-PERFORMANCE SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING - Library Centric Application Design <i>René Heinzl, Philipp Schwaha and Siegfried Selberherr</i>	100
<b>SHORT PAPERS</b>	
REFORMULATING COMPONENT IDENTIFICATION AS DOCUMENT ANALYSIS PROBLEM - Towards Automated Component Procurement <i>Hans-Gerhard Gross, Marco Lormans and Jun Zhou</i>	111
LINKING SOFTWARE QUALITY TO SOFTWARE ENGINEERING ACTIVITIES, RESULTS FROM A CASE-STUDY <i>Jos J. M. Trienekens, Rob J. Kusters and Dennis C. Brussel</i>	117
ON GENERATING TILE SYSTEM FOR A SOFTWARE ARCHITECTURE CASE OF A COLLABORATIVE APPLICATION SESSION <i>C. Bonanaka, A. Choutri and F. Belala</i>	123
ADDRESSING SECURITY REQUIREMENTS THROUGH MULTI-FORMALISM MODELLING AND MODEL TRANSFORMATION <i>Miriam Zia, Ernesto Posse and Hans Vangheluwe</i>	129
EVOLUTION STYLES IN PRACTICE - Refactoring Revisited as Evolution Style <i>Olivier Le Goer, Mourad Oussalab, Dalila Tamzalit and Djamel Serai</i>	138
INTEGRATING SOFTWARE ARCHITECTURE CONCEPTS INTO THE MDA PLATFORM <i>Alti Adel, Khammaci Tabar, Smeda Adel and Bennouar Djamel</i>	144
AUTOMATIC TEST MANAGEMENT OF SAFETY-CRITICAL SYSTEMS: THE COMMON CORE - Behavioural Emulation of Hard-soft Components <i>Antonio Grillo, Giovanni Cantone, Christian Di Biagio and Guido Pennella</i>	150
INCLUDING IMPROVEMENT OF THE EXECUTION TIME IN A SOFTWARE ARCHITECTURE OF LIBRARIES WITH SELF-OPTIMISATION <i>Luis-Pedro García, Javier Cuenca and Domingo Giménez</i>	156
A STABILITY AND EFFICIENCY ORIENTED RESCHEDULING APPROACH FOR SOFTWARE PROJECT MANAGEMENT <i>Yujia Ge and Lijun Bai</i>	162



A STATISTICAL NEURAL NETWORK FRAMEWORK FOR RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS - From the Proposal to its Preliminary Validation for Efficiency <i>Sabvatore Alessandro Sarcià, Giovanni Cantone and Victor R. Basili</i>	168
A CASE STUDY ON THE APPLICABILITY OF SOFTWARE RELIABILITY MODELS TO A TELECOMMUNICATION SOFTWARE <i>Hassan Artail, Fnuad Mrad and Mohamad Mortada</i>	178
INTEGRATING A DISTRIBUTED INSPECTION TOOL WITHIN AN ARTEFACT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM <i>Andrea De Lucia, Fausto Fasano, Genoveffa Tortora and Giuseppe Scanniello</i>	184
COMPONENT BASED METHODOLOGY FOR QOS-AWARE NETWORK DESIGN <i>Cédric Teyssié, David Espès and Zoubir Mammeri</i>	190
ASSL SPECIFICATION OF RELIABILITY SELF-ASSESSMENT IN THE AS-TRM <i>Emil Vassev, Olga Ormandjieva and Joey Paquet</i>	198
A FORMAL APPROACH TO DEPLOY HETEROGENEOUS SOFTWARE COMPONENTS IN A PLC <i>Mohamed Khalgui and Emanuele Carpanzano</i>	207
A COMPARISON OF STRUCTURED ANALYSIS AND OBJECT ORIENTED ANALYSIS - An Experimental Study <i>Davide Falessi, Giovanni Cantone and Claudio Grande</i>	213
SECURE REFACTORING - Improving the Security Level of Existing Code <i>Katsuhisa Maruyama</i>	222
MACRO IMPACT ANALYSIS USING MACRO SLICING <i>László Vidács, Árpád Beszédes and Rudolf Ferenc</i>	230
A METHOD TO MODEL GUIDELINES FOR DEVELOPING RAILWAY SAFETY-CRITICAL SYSTEMS WITH UML <i>D. D. Okalas Ossami, J.-M. Mota, L. Thiry, J.-M. Perronne, J.-L. Boulanger and G. Mariano</i>	236
SOFTWARE DEFECT PREDICTION: HEURISTICS FOR WEIGHTED NAÏVE BAYES <i>Burak Turhan and Ayşe Bener</i>	244
TEST FRAMEWORKS FOR ELUSIVE BUG TESTING <i>W. E. Howden and Cliff Rhyne</i>	250
SOFTWARE PROCESS CONVERSION RULES IN IMPPROS - Quality Models Conversion for a Software Process Implementation Environment <i>Sandro Ronaldo Bezerra Oliveira, Alexandre Marcos Lins de Vasconcelos and Tiago Soares Gonçalves</i>	258
A PRODUCT LINE OF SOFTWARE REUSE COST MODELS <i>Mustafa Korkmaz and Ali Mili</i>	264
SIMULATION METHODOLOGIES FOR SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING - Modern Application Design <i>Philipp Schwaba, Markus Schwaba, René Heinzl, Enzo Ungersboeck and Siegfried Selberherr</i>	270
NEW DESIGN TECHNIQUES FOR ENHANCING FAULT TOLERANT COTS SOFTWARE WRAPPERS <i>Luping Chen and John May</i>	277
RESOURCE SUBSTITUTION FOR THE REALIZATION OF MOBILE INFORMATION SYSTEMS <i>Hagen Höpfner and Christian Bunse</i>	283

GOAL-ORIENTED AUTOMATIC TEST CASE GENERATORS FOR MC/DC COMPLIANCY <i>Emine G. Aydal, Jim Woodcock and Ana Cavalcanti</i>	290
A MODEL-DRIVEN ENGINEERING APPROACH TO REQUIREMENTS ENGINEERING - How These Disciplines May Benefit Each Other <i>Begoña Moros, Cristina Vicente-Chicote and Ambrosio Toval</i>	296
A FORMAL APPROACH FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF AUTOMATED SYSTEMS <i>Olfa Mosbahi, Leila Jemni and Jacques Jaray</i>	304
SCMM-TOOL - Tool for Computer Automation of the Information Security Management Systems <i>Luis Enrique Sánchez, Daniel Villafranca, Eduardo Fernández-Medina and Mario Piattini</i>	311
A SOFTWARE TOOL FOR REQUIREMENTS SPECIFICATION - On using the STORM Environment to Create SRS Documents <i>Sergiu Dascalu, Eric Fritzinger, Kendra Cooper and Narayan Debnath</i>	319
<b>POSTERS</b>	
IMPLEMENTING A VALUE-BASED APPROACH TO SOFTWARE PROCESS AND PRODUCT ASSESSMENT <i>Pasi Ojala</i>	329
CLOSING THE BUSINESS-APPLICATION GAP IN SOA - Challenges and Solution Directions <i>Boris Shishkov, Jan L. G. Dietz and Marten van Sinderen</i>	333
PRIORITIZATION OF PROCESSES FOR SOFTWARE PROCESS IMPROVEMENT IN SMALL SOFTWARE ENTERPRISES <i>Francisco J. Pino, Félix Garcia and Mario Piattini</i>	337
SCHEME FOR COMPARING RESULTS OF DIVERSE SOFTWARE VERSIONS <i>Viktor Mashkov and Jaroslav Pokorny</i>	341
TOWARDS A UNIFIED SECURITY/SAFETY FRAMEWORK - A Design Approach to Embedded System Applications <i>Miroslav Sveda and Radimir Vrba</i>	345
THE MISSING LAYER - Deficiencies in Current Rich Client Architectures, and their Remedies <i>Brendan Lawlor and Jeanne Stynes</i>	351
RE-USING EXPERIENCE IN INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT <i>Paulo Tomé, Ernesto Costa and Luís Amaral</i>	357
TOWARDS A NEW CODE-BASED SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT ENABLING CODE PATTERNS <i>Klaus Meffert and Ilka Philippow</i>	363
A COMPUTERIZED TUTOR FOR ARCHITECTING SOFTWARE - Supporting the Creative Aspects of Software Development <i>José L. Fernández-Sánchez and Javier Carracedo Pais</i>	367
REQUIREMENTS DEFINITIONS OF REAL-TIME SYSTEM USING THE BEHAVIORAL PATTERNS ANALYSIS (BPA) APPROACH - The Elevator Control System <i>Assem El-Ansary</i>	371
DETECTING ASPECTUAL BEHAVIOR IN UML INTERACTION DIAGRAMS <i>Amir Abdollabi Foumani and Constantinos Constantinides</i>	378

AN IMPROVEMENT TO THE MIXED MDA-SOFTWARE FACTORY APPROACH: A REAL CASE <i>Gustavo Muñoz Gómez and Juan Carlos Granja</i>	387
A CASE STUDY OF DISTRIBUTED AND EVOLVING APPLICATIONS USING SEPARATION OF CONCERNS <i>Hamid Mcheick, Hamed Mili and Rakan Mcheik</i>	393
SOFTWARE ENGINEERING LESSONS LEARNED FROM DEVELOPING AND MAINTAINING WEBSITES <i>Tammy Kam Hung Chan and Zhen Hua Liu</i>	401
UNDERSTANDING PRODUCT LINES THROUGH DESIGN PATTERNS <i>Daniel Cabrero, Javier Garzas and Mario Piattini</i>	405
HARDWARE PROJECT MANAGEMENT - What we Can Learn from the Software Development Process for Hardware Design? <i>Rolf Drechsler and Andreas Breiter</i>	409
AN EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION OF SOFTWARE PERFORMANCE MODELING AND ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES <i>Julie A. Street and Robert G. Pettit IV</i>	417
TOWARDS A KNOWLEDGE BASE TO IMPROVE REUSABILITY OF DESIGN PATTERN <i>Cedric Bouhours, Herve Leblanc and Christian Percebois</i>	421
MODEL-DRIVEN DEVELOPMENT OF GRAPHICAL TOOLS - Fujaba Meets GMF <i>Thomas Buchmann, Alexander Dotor and Bernhard Westfechtel</i>	425
A STUDY ON SOFTWARE PROJECT COACHING MODEL USING TSP IN SAMSUNG <i>Taehee Gwak and Yoonjung Jang</i>	431
V3STUDIO: A COMPONENT-BASED ARCHITECTURE DESCRIPTION META-MODEL - Extensions to Model Component Behaviour Variability <i>Cristina Vicente-Chicote, Diego Alonso and Franck Chauvel</i>	437
E-LEARNING FOR HEALTH ISSUES BASED ON RULE-BASED REASONING AND MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION MAKING <i>Katerina Kabassi, Maria Virvou and George Tsibrintzis</i>	441
COSA: AN ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION META-MODEL <i>Sylvain Maillard, Adel Smeda and Mourad Oussalah</i>	445
A METHODOLOGY TO FINALIZE THE REQUIREMENTS FOR A PROJECT WITH MULTIPLE STAKE- HOLDERS - Presenting Software Engineering Workshop as a Solution <i>Ashtosh Parashar and Selvakumaran Mannappan</i>	449
AUTHOR INDEX	453

# ICSOFT 2007

Proceedings of the  
Second International Conference on  
Software and Data Technologies

Volume SE

Barcelona, Spain

July 22 – 25, 2007

Organized by  
**INSTICC – Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control  
and Communication**

Co-Sponsored by  
**Workflow Management Coalition – Process Thought Leadership**

In Cooperation with  
**IICREST – Interdisciplinary Institute for Collaboration and Research on  
Enterprise Systems and Technology**

# UNDERSTANDING PRODUCT LINES THROUGH DESIGN PATTERNS

Daniel Cabrero

*Dirección General de Tráfico, Spanish Ministry of Internal Affairs, Madrid, Spain  
dani\_mas\_d@yahoo.fr*

Javier Garzás

*Kybele Consulting S.L. Madrid, Spain  
javierng@gmail.com*

Mario Piattini

*Alarcos Research Group. University of Castilla-La Mancha. Ciudad Real, Spain  
mpiattini@inf-cr.uclm.es*

**Keywords:** Design Patterns, Software Product Lines, Systematic Literature Review, Variability Points.

**Abstract:** Many proposals concerning design and implementation of Software Product Lines have been studied in the last few years. This work points out how and why different Design Patterns are used in the context of Product Lines. This will be achieved by reviewing how often those patterns appear in different proposed solutions and research papers for Product Lines for a given set of sources. This information will help us identify which specific problems need to be solved in the context of Product Lines. In addition, we will discuss how this information can be useful to identify gaps in new research.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Software Product Lines engineering gathers the analysis, design and implementation of a family of systems in order to improve the reuse of the commonality among them. Thus, a Product Line is a group of “similar” systems (Clements and Northrop, 2001). Each system can be defined as the variability within the rest of the family.

The main challenge of this tendency in engineering is to establish the appropriate mechanisms for modelling and implementing this variability (Myllymäki, 2002) and to save cost and time by reusing components whenever possible.

Design Patterns describe common problems and their solutions (Gamma et al., 1995) in such a way that analysts and software designers can easily retrieve them. Thanks to the fact that experienced software engineers and domain specialists develop patterns, the software community can take advantage of this reliable knowledge, available from pattern libraries.

The experience at hand in the field of reusability of common features and components is well known

and a lot of work on this has been successfully applied in a wide variety of systems, adopting solutions defined in patterns. Fortunately, all this experience has been gathered, and is obtainable through pattern libraries.

In terms of modelling variability in design, Software Product Lines are not much different from other systems. Therefore, one of the major differences between a classic development and a Product Line-oriented development is how the requirement analysis is done. In Product Lines requirements are collected in terms of “Variability Points” (Keepence and Mannion., 1999), which are differences among systems within a Product line.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes how we carried out a review on how different frameworks use this existing knowledge. An ordered list of patterns and refactorings will be summarized, based on the frequency of their appearance. Section 3 focuses in more in detail on the most common problems faced by Software Product Lines. Finally, section 4 draws some conclusions and identifies future research work.

## 2 DATA RETRIEVAL: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW IN PRODUCT LINES

A Systematic Literature Review is a means of identifying, evaluating and interpreting all available research that is relevant to a particular research question. Individual studies contributing to a Systematic Review are gathered and new conclusions are obtained from its summarization and analysis (Biolchini et al., 2005). This methodological literature review is common in other science disciplines such as medicine, but was recently introduced in Software Engineering by (Kitchenham, 2004).

### 2.1 Research Question

The difference between a Systematic Literature Review and a traditional Literature Review is that “the research conduction process of a Systematic Review follows a well defined and strict sequence of methodological steps” (Biolchini et al., 2005). These “strict” steps include the definition of the followed procedure in the research, which focuses different aspects such as the research question, sources, query strings or selection criteria.

In the context of this research, we performed a Systematic Review focusing on Design Knowledge as defined in (Garzas and Piattini, 2005) (Design Patterns, Refactorings, Design Principles, Rules, Bad Smells and Heuristics) applied to Software Product Lines. The research question was defined as shown in the Figure 1.

**Which kind of Design Knowledge (e.g. Patterns, Refactorings, Principles, Rules, Bad Smells and Heuristics) is commonly used in Software Product Lines?**

Figure 1: Research Question

### 2.2 Execution of the Systematic Review

In this case, a specific set of Query Strings was used to identify research articles in three sources: the IEEE, the ACM and the SCIENCE DIRECT portals. The Table 1 shows the strings used, as well as the number of selected studies from them. For example, the cell corresponding to the first column and the first row establishes that 30 documents were retrieved for the “Design Pattern” + “Product Line” string queries.

Table 1: First Search. Retrieved Studies.

	Product Line	Product Family
Design Pattern	30	11
Heuristic	19	3
Design Principle	10	9
Bad Smell	0	0
Refactoring	25	6
Design Rule	6	2

Once the search was performed, 121 relevant studies were selected. After that, we filtered the really important papers using the selection criteria. The selection criteria was to read the article abstract in order to ensure that they talked about Product Lines and Design Knowledge Concepts defined in (Garzas and Piattini, 2005). After filtering each of them, we found that they mostly focused on architectural issues, requirements management, and many other aspects, but very few of them referred to lower level design aspects.

The Table 2 shows the number of results for each string query after the selection criteria was applied.

Table 2: First Search. Filtered Studies.

	Product Line	Product Family
Design Pattern	11	2
Heuristic	2	0
Design Principle	2	1
Bad Smell	0	0
Refactoring	4	0
Design Rule	0	0

Eventually, we discovered that some of the retrieved documents did propose new patterns for managing variability. Those “complex” patterns could be broken down into “classic” Patterns and Refactorings, such as those defined by the Gang of Four (Garzas and Piattini, 2005), (Buschmann et al., 1996) or (Fowler, 1999). Among those “complex” patterns we can highlight the Single Adapter Pattern, Multiple Adapter Pattern and Option Pattern (Goedicke et al., 2004, Keepence and Mannion., 1999), the SCV Analysis (Coplien et al., 1998) or the Command Language Pattern (Goedicke et al., 2004).

In the end, after reading carefully each selected document, we had found 4 articles published in

Journals related with different patterns, as depicted in the Table 3.

Table 3: First Search. Final Results.

	(Keepence and Mannion., 1999)	(Coplien et al., 1998)	(Goedike et al., 2004)	(Ziadi et al., 2003)
Abstract Factory	X	X	X	X
Singleton	X			X
Null Object	X			X
Replace If with Inheritance	X			
Adapter		X		
Message Redirector			X	
Service Abstraction Layer			X	
Command Processor			X	
Command			X	
Interpreter			X	

The summarized data given in the Table 3 establishes that only Patterns and Refactorings were found in the retrieved papers.

We noticed that articles focusing ‘low level’ design aspects used class diagrams. Because of that, we performed a second search, this time in Internet, using ‘class diagram’ and ‘pattern-based’ Strings, as shown in the Table 4.

Table 4: Second Search. Retrieved Studies.

	Software Product Line	Software Product Family
Class Diagram + Pattern-Based	90	20

After reading the abstract of the 110 related studies returned by the search queries (90 from the first query string and 20 from the second one), we found that 4 research works used any of the above-mentioned Design Knowledge. The Table 5 depicts the different patterns mentioned in each study.

All of them used patterns, but no reference was found in this second search related to refactorings, bad smells, design principles, design rules or heuristics.

Table 5: Second Search. Final Results.

	(Myllymäki, 2002)	(Bachmann and Bass, 2001)	(Harsu, 2001)	(Muthig et al., 2004)
Abstract Factory	X	X	X	
Strategy	X			
Mediator	X			X
Proxy	X			
Singleton			X	

### 2.3 Data Synthesis

The next step in our work was to check how often those patterns appear in the Product Line-based solutions and to build an ordered list based on their occurrence in the literature.

The list shows the most-used patterns in Software Product Lines and their occurrence per document in parentheses:

1. Abstract Factory (7)
2. Singleton (3)
3. Mediator (2)
4. Null Object (2)
5. Proxy, Command, Adapter, Interpreter, Message Redirector, Strategy, Service Abstraction Layer, Command Processor, Replace If with Inheritance. (1)

It is interesting to highlight that, by observing the list of patterns used in Product Lines, we can take advantage of the common pattern language provided by pattern libraries. Thus, we can associate those patterns with the problems that they try to solve. In other words, the pattern frequency defines many important aspects of the system.

A quick glance at the list shows a clear preference for the Abstract Factory Design Pattern. A long way off this as regards frequency, we can find the rest of Patterns and Refactorings.

The next sections will explain the basics of the patterns found, and how they are used within Software Product Lines.

### 3 CONCLUSIONS

Very often, a system or technology can be defined by means of the problems that it tries to solve. Identifying those problems and having an overview of the state of the art in this respect is a necessary step in the process of producing a new proposal.

This research work reaches several objectives. First of all, it highlights what the main problems in SPL are, currently, as well as how they are being solved using patterns. This has been achieved empirically, studying the appearance frequency of patterns, instead of basing conclusions on personal opinions.

Secondly, this article shows a new line of research that aims to cover gaps in research on the use of refactorings, bad smells, design principles, design heuristics and design rules in Product Lines.

In addition, we propose that future work can be focused on the lack of a detailed library that analyses and evaluates each relevant pattern-based solution and then gives guidelines as to which proposal should be used in different cases.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research is partially supported by the ESFINGE project of the General Research Council (Dirección General de Investigación) of the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science (TIC 2003-02737-C02-02) and ENIGMAS (Entorno Inteligente para la Gestión del Mantenimiento Avanzado del Software), supported by the Department of Education and Science of the Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha (Regional Government of Castilla-La Mancha) (PBI-05-058).

### REFERENCES

Bachmann, F. & Bass, L. (2001) *Managing variability in software architectures*, Symposium on Software Reusability ACM Press

Biolchini, J., Mian, P. G., Natali, A. C. C. & Travassos, G. H. (2005) *Systematic Review in Software Engineering*. Rio de Janeiro, COPPE / UFRJ.

Buschmann, F., Meunier, R., Rohnert, H., Sommerlad, P. & Stal, M. (1996) *Pattern-Oriented Software Architecture – A System of Patterns*, John Wiley and Sons Ltd.

Clements, P. & Northrop, L. (2001) *Software Product Lines: Practices and Patterns*, Addison-Wesley.

Coplien, J., Hoffman, D. & Weiss, D. (1998) *Commonality and Variability in Software Engineering*. IEEE Software 15, 37 - 45.

Fowler, M. (1999) *Refactoring*, Addison Wesley.

Gamma, E., Helm, R., Johnson, R. & Vlissides, J. (1995) *Design Patterns*, Addison-Wesley.

Garzás, J. & Piattini, M. (2005) *An ontology for micro-architectural design knowledge*. IEEE Software Magazine, 22, 28-33.

Goedicke, M., Köllmann, C. & Zdun, U. (2004) *Designing runtime variation points in product line architectures: three cases*. Science of Computer Programming, 53, 353 - 380

Harsu, M. (2001) *A Survey of Product-Line Architectures*. Tampere, Tampere University of Technology.

Keepence, B. & Mannion., M. (1999) *Using patterns to model variability in product families*. IEEE Software, 16, 102-108.

Kitchenham, B. (2004) *Procedures for Performing Systematic Reviews*. Keele University Technical Report. Keele, Software Engineering Group. Department of Computer Science. Keele University.

Muthig, D., John, I., Anastasopoulos, M., Forster, T., Dörr, J. & Schmid, K. (2004) *GoPhone - A Software Product Line in the Mobile Phone Domain*. IESE-Report. Fraunhofer IESE.

Myllymäki, T. (2002) *Variability Management in Software Product Lines*. Tampere, Institute of Software Systems. Tampere University of Technology.

Parnas, D., Clements, P. C. & Weiss, D. (1984) *The Modular Structure Of Complex Systems*, International Conference on Software Engineering Orlando, Florida, IEEE Press.

Ziadi, T., Jézéquel, J.-M. & Fondement., F. (2003) *Product Line Derivation with UML*, Groningen Workshop on Software Variability Management,