

ICSOFT 2010

*5th International Conference on
Software and Data Technologies*

Proceedings

Volume 2

SE / KBS

Athens, Greece · 22 - 24 July, 2010

Sponsored by:



University of Piraeus
and
University of Piraeus - Research Center

Hosted by:



University of
Piraeus

In Cooperation with:



Department of Informatics
University of Piraeus

ICSOFT 2010

Proceedings of the
Fifth International Conference on
Software and Data Technologies

Volume 2

Athens, Greece

July 22 - 24, 2010

Sponsored by
**INSTICC – Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control
and Communication
University of Piraeus
University of Piraeus - Research Center**

Hosted by
University of Piraeus

In Cooperation with
**IICREST – Interdisciplinary Institute for Collaboration and Research on
Enterprise Systems and Technology
WfMC – Workflow Management Coalition
Department of Informatics – University of Piraeus**

Copyright © 2010 SciTePress – Science and Technology Publications
All rights reserved

Edited by José Cordeiro, Maria Virvou and Boris Shishkov

Printed in Portugal

ISBN: 978-989-8425-23-2

Depósito Legal: 312979/10

<http://www.icssoft.org/>
icssoft.secretariat@insticc.org

BRIEF CONTENTS

INVITED SPEAKERS	IV
SPECIAL SESSION CHAIRS	IV
ORGANIZING AND STEERING COMMITTEES	V
PROGRAM COMMITTEE	VI
AUXILIARY REVIEWERS	VIII
SPECIAL SESSION PROGRAM COMMITTEE	IX
SELECTED PAPERS BOOK	IX
FOREWORD	XI
CONTENTS	XIII

INVITED SPEAKERS

Pericles Loucopoulos

Loughborough University

U.K.

Stephen Mellor

Freeter

U.K.

David Marca

University of Phoenix

U.S.A.

Nikolaos Bourbakis

Wright State University

U.S.A.

Cesar Gonzalez-Perez

LaPa - CSIC

Spain

SPECIAL SESSION CHAIRS

SPECIAL SESSION ON SOFTWARE AND DATA TECHNOLOGIES

Markus Helfert, Dublin City University, Ireland

ORGANIZING AND STEERING COMMITTEES

CONFERENCE CO-CHAIRS

José Cordeiro, Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal / INSTICC, Portugal

Maria Virvou, University of Piraeus, Greece

PROGRAM CHAIR

Boris Shishkov, IICREST / Delft University of Technology, Bulgaria

PROCEEDINGS PRODUCTION

Patrícia Alves, INSTICC, Portugal

Helder Coelhas, INSTICC, Portugal

Vera Coelho, INSTICC, Portugal

Andreia Costa, INSTICC, Portugal

Patricia Duarte, INSTICC, Portugal

Bruno Encarnação, INSTICC, Portugal

Mauro Graça, INSTICC, Portugal

Liliana Medina, INSTICC, Portugal

Carla Mota, INSTICC, Portugal

Raquel Pedrosa, INSTICC, Portugal

Vitor Pedrosa, INSTICC, Portugal

Filipa Rosa, INSTICC, Portugal

José Varela, INSTICC, Portugal

CD-ROM PRODUCTION

Elton Mendes, INSTICC, Portugal

Pedro Varela, INSTICC, Portugal

GRAPHICS PRODUCTION AND WEBDESIGNER

Daniel Pereira, INSTICC, Portugal

SECRETARIAT

Mónica Saramago, INSTICC, Portugal

WEBMASTER

Sérgio Brissos, INSTICC, Portugal

PROGRAM COMMITTEE

Silvia Abrahão, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain

Alain Abran, Université du Québec - École de Technologie Supérieure, Canada

Muhammad Abulaish, Jamia Millia Islamia (A Central University), India

Markus Aleksy, ABB Corporate Research Center, Germany

Kenneth Anderson, University of Colorado, U.S.A.

Keijiro Araki, Kyushu University, Japan

Farhad Arbab, CWI, The Netherlands

Colin Atkinson, University of Mannheim, Germany

Xiaoying Bai, Tsinghua University, China

Noureddine Belkhatir, Grenoble University, France

Alexandre Bergel, University of Chile, Chile

Wladimir Bodrow, University of Applied Sciences Berlin, Germany

Marcello Bonsangue, University of Leiden, The Netherlands

Lydie du Bousquet, Université J. Fourier, Grenoble I, France

Frances Brazier, TU Delft, The Netherlands

Lisa Brownsword, Software Engineering Institute, U.S.A.

Dumitru Burdescu, University of Craiova, Romania

Fergal Mc Caffery, Dundalk Institute of Technology, Ireland

Gerardo Canfora, University of Sannio, Italy

Mauro Caporuscio, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Cinzia Cappiello, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Sergio de Cesare, Brunel University, U.K.

Shipping Chen, CSIRO ICT Centre Australia, Australia

Peter Clarke, Florida International University, U.S.A.

Rem Collier, University College Dublin, Ireland

Sergiu Dascalu, University of Nevada, Reno, U.S.A.

Steven Demurjian, University of Connecticut, U.S.A.

Giovanni Denaro, University of Milano-Bicocca, Italy

María J. Domínguez-Alda, Universidad de Alcalá, Spain

Philippe Dugerdil, Haute École de Gestion, University of Applied Sciences, Switzerland

Jürgen Ebert, Universität Koblenz-landau, Germany

Fikret Ercal, Missouri University of Science & Technology, U.S.A.

Onyeka Ezenwoye, South Dakota State University, U.S.A.

Cléver Ricardo Guareis de Farias, University of São Paulo, Brazil

Massimo Felici, The University of Edinburgh, U.K.

Rudolf Ferenc, University of Szeged, Hungary

Gianluigi Ferrari, University of Parma, Italy

Paola Giannini, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Italy

J. Paul Gibson, T&MSP - Telecom & Management SudParis, France

Itana Gimenes, Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Brazil

Juan Carlos Granja, University of Granada, Spain

Des Greer, Queens University Belfast, U.K.

Slimane Hammoudi, ESEO, France

Christian Heinlein, Aalen University, Germany

Markus Helfert, Dublin City University, Ireland

Ivan Ivanov, State University of New York - Empire State College, U.S.A.

PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

Mieczyslaw Kokar, Northeastern University, U.S.A.

Jun Kong, North Dakota State University, U.S.A.

Dimitri Konstantas, University of Geneva / IICREST, Switzerland

Walter Kusters, Universiteit Leiden, The Netherlands

Philippe Lahire, University of Nice - Sophia Antipolis, France

Konstantin Laufer, Loyola University Chicago, U.S.A.

Yu Lei, The University of Texas at Arlington, U.S.A.

Raimondas Lencevicius, Qwobl, U.S.A.

Hareton Leung, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, China

Hua Liu, Xerox Research Center at Webster, U.S.A.

David Lorenz, Open University, Israel

Ricardo J. Machado, Universidade do Minho, Portugal

Leszek Maciaszek, Macquarie University, Australia

Broy Manfred, Technische Universität München, Germany

Yannis Manolopoulos, Aristotle University, Greece

David Marca, University of Phoenix, U.S.A.

Eda Marchetti, ISTI-CNR, Italy

Katsuhisa Maruyama, Ritsumeikan University, Japan

Dimitris Mitrakos, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

Mattia Monga, Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy

Henry Muccini, University of L'Aquila, Italy

Paolo Nesi, University of Florence, Italy

Jianwei Niu, University of Texas San Antonio, U.S.A.

Rory O'Connor, Dublin City University, Ireland

Pasi Ojala, Nokia & Oulu University of Applied Sciences, Finland

Flavio Oquendo, European University of Brittany - UBS/VALORIA, France

Vincenzo Pallotta, University of Geneva, Switzerland

Patrizio Pelliccione, University of L'Aquila, Italy

Massimiliano Di Penta, University of Sannio, Italy

Pascal Poizat, University of Evry Val d'Essonne and LRI, France

Andreas Polze, Hasso-plattner-institute for Software Engineering at University Potsdam, Germany

Christoph von Praun, Ohm-University of Applied Sciences, Germany

Rosario Pugliese, Università di Firenze, Italy

Jolita Ralyte, University of Geneva, Switzerland

T. Ramayah, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia

Anders Ravn, Aalborg University, Denmark

Arend Rensink, University of Twente, The Netherlands

Werner Retschitzegger, Johannes Kepler University, Austria

Claudio de la Riva, University of Oviedo, Spain

Colette Rolland, Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, France

Gustavo Rossi, Lifa, Argentina

Gunter Saake, Institute of Technical and Business Information Systems, Germany

Krzysztof Sacha, Warsaw University of Technology, Poland

Francesca Saglietti, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany

Sreedevi Sampath, UMBC, U.S.A.

Marian Fernández de Sevilla, Alcalá University, Spain

Beijun Shen, Shanghai Jiaotong University, China

Marten van Sinderen, University of Twente / CTIT / IICREST, The Netherlands

PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

Harvey Siy, University of Nebraska at Omaha, U.S.A.

Cosmin Stoica Spahiu, University of Craiova - Faculty of Automation, Computers and Electronics, Romania

George Spanoudakis, City University, U.K.

Anongnart Srivihok, Kasetsart University, Thailand

Peter Stanchev, Kettering University, U.S.A.

Alexander Verbraeck, TU Delft, The Netherlands

Sergiy Vilkomir, East Carolina University, U.S.A.

Christiane Gresse von Wangenheim, UFSC - Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil

Martijn Warnier, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

Ing Widya, University of Twente, The Netherlands

Qing Xie, Accenture Technology Labs, U.S.A.

Bin Xu, Tsinghua University, China

Haiping Xu, University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, U.S.A.

Hongji Yang, De Montfort University, U.K.

Tuba Yavuz-kahveci, University of Florida, U.S.A.

Fatiha Zaidi, Université Paris-Sud XI, France

Xiaokun Zhang, Athabasca University, Canada

Elena Zucca, University of Genova, Italy

AUXILIARY REVIEWERS

Sofia Azevedo, Universidade do Minho, Portugal

Ghizlane El Boussaidi, École de Technologie Supérieure, Canada

Stefano Busanelli, University of Parma, Italy

Francisco Duarte, Universidade do Minho, Portugal

Howard Duncan, Dublin City University, Ireland

Nuno Ferreira, Universidade do Minho, Portugal

Mouzhi Ge, Dublin City University, Ireland

Dae S. Kim-Park, University of Oviedo, Spain

Giovanni Lagorio, University of Genova, Italy

Shujun Li, Northeastern University, U.S.A.

Delphine Longuet, LRI, Université Paris-Sud, France

Paolo Medagliani, University of Parma, Italy

Marcos Palacios, University of Oviedo, Spain

Sooksan Panichpapiboon, King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang, Thailand

Thoa Pham, Dublin City University, Ireland

Alexander Schneider,
Georg-Simon-Ohm-Hochschule Nürnberg,
Germany

Marco Servetto, DISI-UNIGE, Italy

Dean Wampler, Aspect Research Associates, Inc., U.S.A.

SPECIAL SESSION PROGRAM COMMITTEE

SPECIAL SESSION ON SOFTWARE AND DATA TECHNOLOGIES

Jan Aidemark, Linneus University, Sweden

Colin Atkinson, University of Mannheim,
Germany

Mouzhi Ge, Dublin City University, Ireland

Markus Helfert, Dublin City University, Ireland

Dimitri Konstantas, University of Geneva /
IICREST, Switzerland

Kecheng Liu, University of Reading, U.K.

John O'Donoghue, University College Cork,
Ireland

Claus Pahl, Dublin City University, Ireland

Boris Shishkov, IICREST / Delft University of
Technology, Bulgaria

Alistair Sutherland, Dublin City University,
Ireland

Martijn Warnier, Delft University of Technology,
The Netherlands

SELECTED PAPERS BOOK

A number of selected papers presented at ICSOFT 2010 will be published by Springer-Verlag in a CCIS Series book. This selection will be done by the Conference Co-chairs and Program Chair, among the papers actually presented at the conference, based on a rigorous review by the ICSOFT 2010 Program Committee members.

FOREWORD

This volume contains the proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Software and Data Technologies - ICSOFT 2010, sponsored by the Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Communication and Control (INSTICC) and organized in cooperation with the Interdisciplinary Institute for Collaboration and Research on Enterprise Systems and Technology (IICREST) and also the Workflow Management Coalition (WfMC), and hosted by the University of Piraeus.

The purpose of this conference is to bring together researchers and practitioners interested in information technology and software development. The conference tracks are “Enterprise Software Technology”, “Software Engineering”, “Distributed Systems”, “Data Management” and “Knowledge-Based Systems”.

Software and data technologies are essential for developing any computer information system, encompassing a large number of research topics and applications: from programming issues to the more abstract theoretical aspects of software engineering; from databases and data-warehouses to management information systems and knowledge-base systems; distributed systems, ubiquity, data quality and other related topics are included in the scope of ICSOFT.

ICSOFT 2010 received 266 paper submissions from more than 50 countries in all continents. To evaluate each submission, a double blind paper evaluation method was used: each paper was reviewed by at least two internationally known experts from the ICSOFT Program Committee. Only 25 papers were selected to be published and presented as full papers, i.e. completed work (8 pages in proceedings / 30’ oral presentations), 57 additional papers, describing work-in-progress, were accepted as short paper for 20’ oral presentation, leading to a total of 100 oral paper presentations. As well, 40 papers were selected for poster presentation. The full-paper acceptance ratio was thus 9.4%, and the total oral paper acceptance ratio was 30.8%.

In its program, ICSOFT includes panels to discuss aspects of software development both from the perspectives of theory and practice, with the participation of distinguished world-class researchers and practitioners; furthermore, the program is enriched by several keynote lectures delivered by renowned experts in their areas of knowledge. These high points in the conference program definitely contribute to reinforce the overall quality of the ICSOFT conference, which is becoming already one of the most prestigious yearly events in its area.

The program for this conference required the dedicated effort of many people. Firstly, we must thank the authors, whose research efforts are recorded here. Secondly, we thank the members of the program committee and the additional reviewers for their diligence and professional reviewing. Next, we would like to personally thank the local organizers and the secretariat that have worked hard to provide smooth logistics and a friendly environment, so we must thank them all and especially Ms. Monica Saramago for their patience and diligence

in answering many emails and solving all the problems. Last but not least, we thank the invited speakers for their invaluable contribution and for taking the time to synthesize and prepare their talks.

A successful conference involves more than paper presentations; it is also a meeting place, where ideas about new research projects and other ventures are discussed and debated. Therefore, a social event including a conference diner has been organized for the evening of July 23 (Friday) in order to promote this kind of social networking.

We wish you all an exciting conference and an unforgettable stay in the city of Athens. We hope to meet you again next year for the 6th ICSoft, to be held in Seville, details of which will shortly be made available at <http://www.icsoft.org>.

Maria Virvou

University of Piraeus, Greece

Boris Shishkov

IICREST / Delft University of Technology, Bulgaria

José Cordeiro

Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal / INSTICC, Portugal

CONTENTS

INVITED SPEAKERS

KEYNOTE SPEAKERS

THE EVOLVING RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BUSINESS AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS <i>Pericles Loucopoulos</i>	IS-5
MODELS. MODELS. MODELS. SO WHAT? <i>Stephen Mellor</i>	IS-7
MENTAL DISTANCE - And Its Implications for Software and Data Design for e-Business <i>David Marca</i>	IS-9
DETECTING, RECOGNIZING AND ASSOCIATING FACIAL EXPRESSIONS FOR EMOTIONAL BEHAVIOR <i>Nikolaos Bourbakis</i>	IS-17
FILLING THE VOIDS - From Requirements to Deployment with OPEN/Metis <i>Cesar Gonzalez-Perez</i>	IS-19

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

FULL PAPERS

WORK PRODUCT-DRIVEN SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT METHODOLOGY IMPROVEMENT <i>Paul Bogg, Graham Low, Brian Henderson-Sellers and Ghassan Beydoun</i>	5
A REQUIREMENTS METAMODEL FOR RICH INTERNET APPLICATIONS <i>Esteban Robles Luna, María José Escalona and Gustavo Rossi</i>	14
HOW DEVELOPERS TEST THEIR OPEN SOURCE SOFTWARE PRODUCTS - A Survey of Well-known OSS Projects <i>Davide Tosi and Abbas Tahir</i>	22
USING AToM3 FOR THE VERIFICATION OF WORKFLOW APPLICATIONS <i>Leila Jemni Ben Ayed, Ahlem Ben Younes and Amin Ben Brahim Achouri</i>	32
A PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE TO FACILITATE THE TRANSITION FROM RAPID PROTOTYPING TO EFFICIENT SOFTWARE PRODUCTION <i>Francisco Ortin, Daniel Zapico and Miguel Garcia</i>	40
APPLICATION OF SERVICE-ORIENTED COMPUTING AND MODEL-DRIVEN DEVELOPMENT PARADIGMS TO BUSINESS PROCESSES - A Systematic Review <i>Andrea Delgado, Francisco Ruiz, Ignacio García-Rodríguez de Guzmán and Mario Piattini</i>	51
A MODEL-BASED NARRATIVE USE CASE SIMULATION ENVIRONMENT <i>Veit Hoffmann and Horst Lichter</i>	63
TRACE TRANSFORMATION REUSE TO GUIDE CO-EVOLUTION OF MODELS <i>Bastien Amar, Hervé Leblanc, Bernard Coulette and Phillipe Dhaussy</i>	73
CONSTRAINT REASONING IN FOCALTEST <i>Matthieu Carlier, Catherine Dubois and Arnaud Gotlieb</i>	82

TOWARDS A HACKER ATTACK REPRESENTATION METHOD <i>Peter Karpati, Guttorm Sindre and Andreas L. Opdahl</i>	92
MODEL-DRIVEN DEPLOYMENT OF DISTRIBUTED COMPONENTS-BASED SOFTWARE <i>Mariam Dibo and Noureddine Belkhatir</i>	102
SHORT PAPERS	
TOWARDS A META-MODEL FOR WEB SERVICES' PREFERENCES <i>Zakaria Maamar, Ghazi Al-Khatib, Said Elnaffar and Youcef Baghdadi</i>	113
X-FEE - An Extensible Framework for Providing Feedback IN the Internet of Services <i>Anja Strunk</i>	119
WHAT IS WRONG WITH AOP? <i>Adam Przybyłek</i>	125
DESIGN PATTERNS WITH ASPECTJ, GENERICS, AND REFLECTIVE PROGRAMMING <i>Adam Przybyłek</i>	131
QuEF - An Environment for Quality Evaluation on Model-driven Web Engineering Approaches <i>F. J. Domínguez-Mayo, M. Mejías, M. J. Escalona and A. H. Torres</i>	137
COEVOLUTIVE META-EXECUTION SUPPORT - Towards a Design and Execution Continuum <i>Gilles Dodinet, Michel Zam and Geneviève Jomier</i>	143
WEB SERVICES FOR HIGHER INTEGRITY INSTRUMENT CONTROL <i>Phillip R. Huffman and Susan A. Mengel</i>	151
SLR-TOOL - A Tool for Performing Systematic Literature Reviews <i>Ana M. Fernández-Sáez, Marcela Genero Bocco and Francisco P. Romero</i>	157
FRAMEWORK AS SOFTWARE SERVICE (FASS) - An Agile e-Toolkit to Support Agile Method Tailoring <i>Asif Qumer and Brian Henderson-Sellers</i>	167
MODEL CHECKING IS REFINEMENT - From Computation Tree Logic to Failure Trace Testing <i>Stefan D. Bruda and Zhiyu Zhang</i>	173
AUTOMATIC GENERATION OF DATA MERGING PROGRAM CODES <i>Hyeonsook Kim, Samia Oussena, Ying zhang and Tony Clark</i>	179
TOWARDS AN INTEGRATED SUPPORT FOR TRACEABILITY OF QUALITY REQUIREMENTS USING SOFTWARE SPECTRUM ANALYSIS <i>Haruhiko Kaiya, Kasuhisa Amemiya, Yuutarou Shimizu and Kenji Kaijiri</i>	187
A TOOL FOR USER-GUIDED DATABASE APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT - Automatic Design of XML Models using CBD <i>Carlos Rossi, Antonio Guevara, Manuel Enciso, José Luis Caro, Angel Mora and Pablo Cordero</i>	195
EXTENDING UML TO REPRESENT INTERACTION ROLES AND VARIANTS OF DESIGN PATTERN <i>Keen Ngee Loo and Sai Peck Lee</i>	201
UNIFYING SOFTWARE AND DATA REVERSE ENGINEERING - A Pattern based Approach <i>Francesca Arcelli, Gianluigi Viscusi and Marco Zanoni</i>	208

COGNITIVE INFLUENCES IN PRIORITIZING SOFTWARE REQUIREMENTS <i>Nadina Martínez Carod and Alejandra Cechich</i>	214
SOFTWARE RELEASES MANAGEMENT IN THE TRIGGER AND DATA ACQUISITION OF ATLAS EXPERIMENT - Integration, Building, Deployment, Patching <i>Andrei Kazarov, Mihai Caprini, Igor Soloviev and Reiner Hauser</i>	220
AN ASPECT-BASED APPROACH FOR CONCURRENT PROGRAMMING USING CSP FEATURES <i>José Elias Araújo, Henrique Rebêlo, Ricardo Lima, Alexandre Mota, Fernando Castor, Tiago Lima, Juliana Lucena and Filipe Lima</i>	226
A LEGACY SYSTEMS USE CASE RECOVERY METHOD <i>Philippe Dugerdil and Sebastien Jossi</i>	232
LESSONS FROM ENGINEERING - Can Software Benefit from Product based Evidence of Reliability? <i>Neal Snooke</i>	238
EVOLVABILITY IN SERVICE ORIENTED SYSTEMS <i>Anca Daniela Ionita and Marin Litoiu</i>	245
A FRAMEWORK FOR PROACTIVE SLA NEGOTIATION <i>Khaled Mahbub and George Spanoudakis</i>	251
 POSTERS	
SLICING OF UML MODELS <i>K. Lano and S. Kolahdouz-Rahimi</i>	259
TOWARDS A ‘UNIVERSAL’ SOFTWARE METRICS TOOL - Motivation, Process and a Prototype <i>Gordana Rakić, Zoran Budimac and Klaus Bothe</i>	263
HEAP GARBAGE COLLECTION WITH REFERENCE COUNTING <i>Wuu Yang, Huei-Ru Tseng and Rong-Hong Jan</i>	267
TRAINING GLOBAL SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT SKILLS THROUGH A SIMULATED ENVIRONMENT <i>Miguel J. Monasor, Aurora Vizcaíno and Mario Piattini</i>	271
COLOR IMAGE ENCRYPTION SOLUTION BASED ON THE CHAOTIC SYSTEM OF LOGISTIC AND HENON <i>Zhang Yunpeng, Sun Peng, Xie Jing and Huang Yunting</i>	275
LANGUAGE-ORIENTED PROGRAMMING VIA DSL STACKING <i>Bernhard G. Humm and Ralf S. Engelschall</i>	279
MODEL-DRIVEN APPROACHES FOR SERVICE-BASED APPLICATIONS DEVELOPMENT <i>Selo Sulistyono and Andreas Prinz</i>	288
PERFORMANCE OPTIMIZATION OF EXHAUSTIVE RULES IN GRAPH REWRITING SYSTEMS <i>Tamás Mészáros, Márk Asztalos, Gergely Mezei and Hassan Charaf</i>	292
A SCALA-BASED DOMAIN SPECIFIC LANGUAGE FOR STRUCTURED DATA REPRESENTATION <i>Kazuaki Maeda</i>	296

WEB TOOL FOR OBJECT ORIENTED DESIGN METRICS <i>José R. Hilera, Luis Fernández-Sanz and Marina Cabello</i>	300
IMPLEMENTING QVT IN A DOMAIN-SPECIFIC MODELING FRAMEWORK <i>István Madari, Márk Asztalos, Tamás Mészáros, László Lengyel and Hassan Charaf</i>	304
TEXTUAL SYNTAX MAPPING CAN ENABLE SYNTACTIC MERGING <i>László Angyal, László Lengyel, Tamás Mészáros and Hassan Charaf</i>	308
SPECIFICATION AND VERIFICATION OF WORKFLOW APPLICATIONS USING A COMBINATION OF UML ACTIVITY DIAGRAMS AND EVENT B <i>Ahlem Ben Younes and Leila Jemni Ben Ayed</i>	312
EXPLORING EMPIRICALLY THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LACK OF COHESION IN OBJECT-ORIENTED SYSTEMS AND COUPLING AND SIZE <i>Linda Badri, Mourad Badri and Fadel Toure</i>	317
MUTATION TESTING STRATEGIES - A Collateral Approach <i>Mike Papadakis, Nicos Malevris and Marinos Kintis</i>	325
AN UML ACTIVITIES DIAGRAMS TRANSLATION INTO EVENT B SUPPORTING THE SPECIFICATION AND THE VERIFICATION OF WORKFLOW APPLICATION MODELS - From UML Activities Diagrams to Event B <i>Leila Jemni Ben Ayed, Najet Hamdi and Yousra Bendaly Hlaoui</i>	329
JSIMIL - A Java Bytecode Clone Detector <i>Luis Quesada, Fernando Berzal and Juan Carlos Cubero</i>	333
META-DESIGN PARADIGM BASED APPROACH FOR ITERATIVE RAPID DEVELOPMENT OF ENTERPRISE WEB APPLICATIONS <i>Athula Ginige</i>	337
TESTING IN PARALLEL - A Need for Practical Regression Testing <i>Zhenyu Zhang, Zijian Tong and Xiaopeng Gao</i>	344
 KNOWLEDGE-BASED SYSTEMS	
 FULL PAPERS	
LEARNING DYNAMIC BAYESIAN NETWORKS WITH THE TOM4L PROCESS <i>Ahmad Ahdab and Marc Le Goc</i>	353
MALAPROPISMS DETECTION AND CORRECTION USING A PARONYMS DICTIONARY, A SEARCH ENGINE AND WORDNET <i>Valentin Cojocar, Costin-Gabriel Chiru, Stefan Trausan-Matu and Traian Rebedea</i>	364
A STUDY ON ALIGNING DOCUMENTS USING THE CIRCLE OF INTEREST TECHNIQUE <i>Daniel Joseph and César A. Marín</i>	374
NLU METHODOLOGIES FOR CAPTURING NON-REDUNDANT INFORMATION FROM MULTI-DOCUMENTS - A Survey <i>Michael T. Mills and Nikolaos G. Bourbakis</i>	384
GENETIC HEURISTICS FOR REDUCING MEMORY ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN EMBEDDED SYSTEMS <i>Maha Idrissi Aouad, René Schott and Olivier Zendra</i>	394

METAMODEL-BASED DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR DISASTER MANAGEMENT 403
Siti Hajar Othman and Ghassan Beydoun

FACET AND PRISM BASED MODEL FOR PEDAGOGICAL INDEXATION OF TEXTS FOR LANGUAGE LEARNING - The Consequences of the Notion of Pedagogical Context 413
Mathieu Loiseau, Georges Antoniadis and Claude Ponton

SHORT PAPERS

EFFECTS OF EXPERT SYSTEMS IN COMPUTER BASED SUPPORT FOR CMMI IMPLEMENTATIONS 425
Ercan Öztemel and Nilgün Gökmen

APPROXIMATE REASONING BASED ON LINGUISTIC MODIFIERS IN A LEARNING SYSTEM 431
Saoussen Bel Hadj Kacem, Amel Borgi and Moncef Tagina

FILLING THE GAPS USING GOOGLE 5-GRAMS CORPUS 438
Costin-Gabriel Chiru, Andrei Hanganu, Traian Rebedea and Stefan Trausan-Matu

A GREEN DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR INTEGRATED ASSEMBLY AND DISASSEMBLY SEQUENCE PLANNING USING A PSO APPROACH 444
Yuan-Jye Tseng, Fang-Yu Yu and Feng-Yi Huang

MINING TIMED SEQUENCES TO FIND SIGNATURES 450
Nabil Benayadi and Marc Le Goc

SEAMLESS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT FOR SYSTEMS BASED ON BAYESIAN NETWORKS - An Agricultural Pest Control System Example 456
Isabel María del Águila, José del Sagrado, Samuel Túnez and Francisco Javier Orellana

EVALUATING AN INTELLIGENT COLLABORATIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT FOR UML 462
Kalliopi Tourtoglou and Maria Virvou

THE REALIS MODEL OF HUMAN INTERPRETERS AND ITS APPLICATION IN COMPUTATIONAL LINGUISTICS 468
Gábor Alberti, Márton Károly and Judit Kleiber

A SEMANTIC SEARCH ENGINE FOR A BUSINESS NETWORK - A Personalized Vision of the Web applied to a Business Network 475
Angioni Manuela, Emanuela De Vita, Lai Cristian, Marcialis Ivan, Paddeu Gavino and Tuveri Franco

POSTERS

ONTOLOGYJAM - A Toll for Ontology Reuse 483
Luis Fernando Piassessi, Cesar Augusto Tacla and Milton Borsato

INTEGRATION OF APRIORI ALGORITHMS WITH CASE-BASED REASONING FOR FLIGHT ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION 487
Nan-Hsing Chiu, Pei-Da Lin and Chang En Pu

A FRAMEWORK FOR INFORMATION DIFFUSION OVER SOCIAL NETWORKS RESEARCH - Outlining Options and Challenges 491
Juan Yao and Markus Helfert

CHALLENGES IN DISTRIBUTED INFORMATION SEARCH IN A SEMANTIC DIGITAL LIBRARY <i>Antonio Martín and Carlos León</i>	495
A KNOWLEDGE SHARING SYSTEM FOR SOFTWARE DEVELOPERS <i>Takayuki Shibata, Kazuyuki Nakamura, Takanobu Sato and Rentaro Yoshioka</i>	499
TIMED OBSERVATIONS MODELLING FOR DIAGNOSIS METHODOLOGY - A Case Study <i>Laura Pomponio and Marc Le Goc</i>	504
KNOWBENCH - A Semantic User Interface for Managing Knowledge in Software Development <i>Dimitris Panagiotou and Gregoris Mentzas</i>	508
APPLICATIONS OF EXPERT SYSTEM TECHNOLOGY IN THE ATLAS TDAQ CONTROLS FRAMEWORK <i>Alina Corso-Radu, Raul Murillo Garcia, Andrei Kazarov, Giovanna Lehmann Miotto, Luca Magnoni and John Erik Sloper</i>	512
SPECIAL SESSION ON SOFTWARE AND DATA TECHNOLOGIES	
FULL PAPERS	
CONSTRUCTING EVOLVABLE ENTERPRISE IMPLEMENTATIONS <i>Philip Huysmans</i>	522
OPTIMIZING QOS-BASED SERVICE SELECTION IN SERVICE-ORIENTED ARCHITECTURES <i>Dieter Schuller</i>	533
AUTHOR INDEX	539

TRAINING GLOBAL SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT SKILLS THROUGH A SIMULATED ENVIRONMENT

Miguel J. Monasor

*University of Castilla-la Mancha, Campus Universitario s/n, 02071, Albacete, Spain
miguelj.monasor@gmail.com*

Aurora Vizcaíno, Mario Piattini

*Alarcos Research Group, Institute of Information Technologies & Systems, Escuela Superior de Informática
University of Castilla-la Mancha, Paseo de la Universidad 4, 13071, Ciudad Real, Spain
{aurora.vizcaino, mario.piattini}@uclm.es*

Keywords: Global Software Development, Distributed Software Development, Engineering Education, Educational Environment, Teaching Model, Simulators, Virtual Agents.

Abstract: Training and Education in Global Software Development (GSD) is a challenge that has recently emerged for companies and universities, which entails tackling certain drawbacks caused by the distance, such as communication problems, cultural and language differences or the inappropriate use of groupware tools. We have carried out a Systematic Literature Review in relation to the teaching of GSD which has proved that educators should provide learners with a wide set of realistic and practical experiences, since the skills required are best learned by doing. However, this is difficult as companies are not willing to incorporate students in their projects. In this paper we present an alternative: an environment that will simulate typical GSD problems and will allow students and practitioners to develop skills by interacting with virtual agents from different cultures, thus avoiding the risks of involving non-qualified people in real settings.

1 INTRODUCTION

Global Software Development (GSD) allows development teams to be geographically distributed whilst collaborating on the same projects with the main objective of decreasing costs by finding zones in which a skilled workforce is more readily available (Herbsleb, 2007).

However, this shift entails a number of problems, caused mainly by distance, and time and cultural differences. The language barrier is one of the most significant problems (Toyoda et al., 2009) since communications usually use English as a lingua franca, and the implication of non-native speakers can entail the use of terms, expressions or gestures that may be misinterpreted.

These problems affect the way in which the stages of the software life cycle are carried out, signifying that students and practitioners must be trained in communication protocols, and must develop new skills, such as:

- Thinking about problems from the perspective of

the other side (Toyoda et al., 2009).

- Communication protocols and the use of computer-mediated communication.
- Oral and written communication with a multidisciplinary team through a common terminology and language (Toyoda et al., 2009).
- Codes of ethics, leadership and time management.

Companies frequently complain that people who have recently graduated lack the necessary experience since they have rarely been involved in distributed projects. It is therefore necessary to provide learners with real experiences in order to develop both the technical and non-technical skills required in GSD (Swigger et al., 2009).

Instructors do not always have the appropriate tools and methods with which to teach these skills.

In this poster we present a training environment that will minimize the instructors' effort, and will allow learners to be placed in a simulated GSD environment in which they will interact with Virtual Agents (VAs) which can simulate different cultures

at any time avoiding the need to coordinate with real members.

2 RELATED WORK

The results of a Systematic Literature Review on the field of GSD education has provided studies, such as that of (Swigger et al., 2009), that present the joint efforts made by universities from different countries to involve students in the development of real projects by using asynchronous tools to communicate with each other. Most of these studies attempt to simulate typical problems found in industry.

We also found teaching environments such as that of iBistro (Braun et al., 2002) which allow informal communication problems to be addressed by exposing students to GSD issues when conducting informal meetings.

There are also blended learning environments such as CURE (Schümmer et al., 2005), based on the use of virtual rooms which may contain pages, communication channels (such as chat, threaded mailbox), and users. Users in the same room can communicate by using synchronous communication channels. (Toyoda et al., 2009) developed an e-Learning training system oriented towards teaching project management activities, along with an application that helps in the teaching of methods with which to solve problems.

We also found collaboration platforms such as Jazz (Meneely and Williams, 2009), that train students by using version control, chat, and work item features.

Problems caused by using English as a lingua franca and cultural differences are also addressed (Favela and Peña-Mora, 2001) and direct contact and interaction are seen as a positive experience to achieve a better understanding and comprehension.

However, these proposals imply a high work load for the instructors. Involving companies or coordinating distant universities is not always possible and requires a great deal of coordination. A typical difficulty is that of managing to reproduce the complexity of real environments, along with cultural and language differences. Even in the best of scenarios, we can always find schedule problems, interaction problems and a lack of student motivation, since they are rarely provided with immediate feedbacks.

3 GSD SIMULATOR

Our proposal is based on the Scenario-Based Learning (SBL) approach which immerses students in a story in which they have to respond certain questions in order to influence the outcome of that story. SBL systems prompt the student to choose a response between several options, and this choice will influence the execution of the scenario. Our simulator uses VAs, which by interacting with learners will prompt them to textually response questions and take certain actions into the system, and these actions will determine the following steps in the scenario according to a predefined scenario workflow.

3.1 Virtual Agents

In our simulator, VAs behave like people from different nationalities with different appearances, cultures and gestures, in order to train learners to confront cultural and language differences through the use of textual dialogues.

The VAs communicate with students using text and text-to-speech capabilities by using a chatbot system, displaying emotions according to their personalities and increasing the students' motivation by appearing to care about their actions.

Each scenario can contain one or more VAs that will play any role in the GSD problem (e.g. customer, requirements analyst, developer, project manager, etc.). The scenario also has a Virtual Colleague (VC) or team mate; a special VA that helps learners to cope with the scenario by guiding them, correcting their actions and explaining their consequences.

3.2 Architecture

The system is based on a client-server architecture and provide separated interfaces for instructors and learners.

The instructors' interface allows them to manage learners, organize teams, assign tasks and examine learners' actions and send notices and emails to individuals or groups. Our aim consists of minimizing the instructors' effort by automating their tasks and providing a wide set of training scenarios. This interface allows existing training scenarios to be edited and new ones to be created, along with defining new VAs with specific cultures and personalities.

The learners' interface provide a set of features that allows communicate with instructors, organize

their tasks and simulated meetings, access and execute training scenarios, submit deliverables at prescribed milestones, accessing documents and answering tests.

The server side contains the GSD scenarios, with the information required for their execution, including cultural and language knowledge.

The cultural knowledge base is based on the existing literature of Hall (Hall, 1976) and Hofstede (Hofstede and Hofstede, 2005) considering the use of titles, presentations and greetings, starting and finishing conversations, motivation and rewards, requests, negotiations, conflicts resolution, etc.

The language knowledge base contains the rules for all the language pairs that the VC will use to correct learners' mistakes, such as those that take place when English is used as a lingua franca: the incorrect use of "false friends", incorrect plural formations, avoidance of passive forms, the absence of the third person, the use of redundant prepositions, the overuse of certain verbs, etc.

3.3 Scenarios

A training scenario consists of a VC and one or more VAs, a set of documents and tests associated to the problem to solve and a *Meeting Workflow* that will guide the interaction with the student.

When the learner starts a virtual meeting, the VC will present him/her the scenario and the VAs involved. Learners will take a proactive role in the meeting and the VC will guide them and will detect cultural problems and the inappropriate use of language, so the learners will learn from their mistakes and learn to solve them. The scenario finalizes when the learner complete all the documents associated to the scenario and fill in a questionnaire that can be automatically or semi-automatically evaluated according to a template.

A scenario is defined by a set of phases that define a small part of the conversation. Each phase has a concrete *conversational knowledge* and also a context specific *language* and *cultural knowledge* which are used in that context for that scenario.

These phases are arranged setting up the *Scenario Workflow*. We also employ decision points in which the student will influence the execution path of the scenario based on their textual responses or actions. The phases can also store information about its priority, and this serves to evaluate the learners' actions and the correctness of their decisions.

Using the scenario definition, the VAs and the VC guide the virtual meeting following a logical

sequence according to the learners' actions. This design of the scenarios makes it possible to simulate profound and insightful conversations, avoiding speech repetitions and out of context interventions.

At the same time, this model helps to reduce the loss of time on incorrect phases, prevents the learner from returning to a previous conversation, removes unnecessary complexity in the scenario design and helps to structure the cultural and language problems based on the context of the conversation.

The *Meeting Workflow* can be created and edited from the instructors' interface. For each phase, the instructors can introduce the conversational knowledge, documents associated and the cultural and language knowledge.

Phases of the workflow can also be simple or composed what means that can contain other workflows with the aim of organizing the conversation with a high granularity level.

4 TEACHING REQUIREMENTS ELICITATION

We have focused our first scenario on the Global Requirements Elicitation (GRE) stage, since it is a highly communicative process, particularly affected by poor communication, and cultural and time differences.

In our proposed scenario, Spanish learners, playing the role of analysts, will have to communicate with a virtual customer from United States using English in order to elicit a set of functional and non-functional requirements and develop a specification document of the software. For this purpose, we have prepared our scenario's culture and language knowledge bases to include the typical problems or mistakes for that cultures and languages.

Figure 1 shows a part of a *Meeting Workflow* for our design, in which the VC will guide the learner in the context of the meeting and the Virtual Customer will answer the questions according to the associated conversational knowledge.

During the interview, the learner will determine the next phase in the workflow by chatting to the VAs. Since real GRE interviews usually require more than one meeting, the scenario can also store several meeting's workflows dealing with the same subject in a different phase of the project.




Figure 2: Example of GRE meeting workflow.

After the meeting, learners will complete a requirements document in which they will classify all the requirements detected and which, will be automatically evaluated to detect faults such as: ambiguous, incorrect and unspecified requirements.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this work we present a simulator for training students and practitioners in GSD activities. The usage of VAs implies that the training can be carried out at any moment, thus avoiding the problems that occur in real projects and reducing the training costs.

This simulator helps learners to develop the informal communication skills needed in GSD by putting theory into practice by playing different roles in various scenarios. Although we have focused our initial efforts on requirements elicitation meetings, in our future work we shall consider studying other stages of GSD (e.g. software design, software construction, software testing, etc.) in which other types of meetings might take place.

We plan to validate our environment in a course on Software Engineering with students. Our aim in the future is to gather a wide set of training scenarios in order to obtain a complete and autonomous training environment that will require minimum effort and knowledge on the part of the instructor.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been funded by the PEGASO/MAGO project (Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación MICINN and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional FEDER, TIN2009-13718-C02-01). It is

also supported by the ENGLOBALAS (PII2I09-0147-8235) and MELISA (PAC08-0142-3315) projects, Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha, Consejería de Educación y Ciencia, in Spain, and also by the FABRUM project (PPT-430000-2008-063), Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, in Spain.

REFERENCES

- Braun, A., Dutoit, A. H., Harrer, A. G. & Brüge, B. 2002. iBistro: A Learning Environment for Knowledge Construction in Distributed Software Engineering Courses. *Proceedings of the Ninth Asia-Pacific Software Engineering Conference*. IEEE Computer Society.
- Favela, J. & Peña-Mora, F. 2001. An Experience in Collaborative Software Engineering Education. *IEEE Softw.*, 18, 47-53.
- Hall, E. T. 1976. *Beyond Culture*, Anchor Press.
- Herbsleb, J. D. 2007. Global Software Engineering: The Future of Socio-technical Coordination. *2007 Future of Software Engineering*. IEEE Computer Society.
- Hofstede, G. & Hofstede, G. J. 2005. *Cultures and organizations: software of the mind*, New York, NY, USA.
- Meneely, A. & Williams, L. 2009. On preparing students for distributed software development with a synchronous, collaborative development platform. *Proceedings of the 40th ACM technical symposium on Computer science education*. Chattanooga, TN, U.S.A.
- Schümmer, T., Lukosch, S. & Haake, J. M. 2005. Teaching distributed software development with the project method. *Proceedings of th 2005 conference on Computer support for collaborative learning: learning 2005: the next 10 years!* Taipei, Taiwan: International Society of the Learning Sciences.
- Swigger, K., Aplaslan, F. N., Lopez, V., Brazile, R., Dafoulas, G. & Serce, F. C. 2009. Structural factors that affect global software development learning team performance. *Proceedings of the special interest group on management information system's 47th annual conference on Computer personnel research*. Limerick, Ireland: ACM.
- Toyoda, S., Miura, M. & Kunifuji, S. 2009. A Case Study on Project-Management Training-Support Tools for Japanese/Chinese/Indian Offshore Development Engineers. In: BERLIN, S. (ed.) *Knowledge-Based Intelligent Information and Engineering Systems*. Heidelberg.