

# ENASE 2015

10<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Evaluation of  
Novel Approaches to Software Engineering

## PROCEEDINGS

Barcelona, Spain  
29 - 30 April, 2015

► [www.enase.org](http://www.enase.org)

SPONSORED BY:

The logo for INSTICC, featuring a stylized yellow and black graphic to the left of the text "INSTICC" in a bold, sans-serif font.

LOGISTICS PARTNER:

The logo for SCITEVENTS, featuring a circular arrangement of red dots forming a ring around the text "SCITEVENTS" in a bold, sans-serif font, with "SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY EVENTS" in smaller text below.

PAPERS AVAILABLE AT:

The logo for SCITEPRESS, featuring a stylized green and black graphic to the left of the text "SCITEPRESS" in a bold, sans-serif font, with "DIGITAL LIBRARY" in smaller text below.

# ENASE 2015

Proceedings of the  
10th International Conference on  
Evaluation of Novel Approaches to Software  
Engineering

Barcelona, Spain

29 - 30 April, 2015

Sponsored by

**INSTICC – Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control and Communication**

Technically Co-sponsored by

**IEEE CS / TCSE – IEEE Computer Society / Technical Council on Software Engineering**

In Cooperation with

**ACM SIGAPP – ACM Special Interest Group on Applied Computing**

**ACM SIGMIS – ACM Special Interest Group on Management Information Systems**

**ACM SIGSOFT – ACM Special Interest Group on Software Engineering**

**AFEI – Association for Enterprise Information (AFEI)**

Copyright © 2015 SCITEPRESS – Science and Technology Publications  
All rights reserved

Edited by Joaquim Filipe and Leszek Maciaszek

Printed in Portugal

ISBN: 978-989-758-100-7

Depósito Legal: 390332/15

<http://www.enase.org>  
[enase.secretariat@insticc.org](mailto:enase.secretariat@insticc.org)

# BRIEF CONTENTS

---

INVITED SPEAKERS .....	IV
SPECIAL SESSION CHAIRS .....	IV
ORGANIZING AND STEERING COMMITTEES .....	V
PROGRAM COMMITTEE .....	VI
AUXILIARY REVIEWERS .....	VIII
SPECIAL SESSION PROGRAM COMMITTEE .....	VIII
FOREWORD .....	IX
CONTENTS .....	XI

# INVITED SPEAKERS

---

**George Giaglis**

Athens University of Economics and Business  
Greece

**Witold Staniszki**

Rodan Development  
Poland

**Martin Mocker**

MIT, USA and  
Reutlingen University, Germany

# SPECIAL SESSION CHAIRS

---

## **SPECIAL SESSION ON MODEL-DRIVEN INNOVATIONS FOR SOFTWARE ENGINEERING**

Janis Osis, Riga Technical University, Latvia

Vicente Garcia Diaz, Oviedo University, Spain

Gundars Alksnis, Riga Technical University, Latvia

# ORGANIZING AND STEERING COMMITTEES

---

## CONFERENCE CHAIR

Joaquim Filipe, Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal / INSTICC, Portugal

## PROGRAM CHAIR

Leszek Maciaszek, Wroclaw University of Economics, Poland and Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia

## PROCEEDINGS PRODUCTION

Marina Carvalho, INSTICC, Portugal

Helder Coelhas, INSTICC, Portugal

Bruno Encarnação, INSTICC, Portugal

Raquel Pedrosa, INSTICC, Portugal

Vitor Pedrosa, INSTICC, Portugal

Sara Santiago, INSTICC, Portugal

José Varela, INSTICC, Portugal

## CD-ROM PRODUCTION

Pedro Varela, INSTICC, Portugal

## GRAPHICS PRODUCTION AND WEBDESIGNER

André Lista, INSTICC, Portugal

Mara Silva, INSTICC, Portugal

## SECRETARIAT

Helder Coelhas, INSTICC, Portugal

Ana Ramalho, INSTICC, Portugal

## WEBMASTER

Susana Ribeiro, INSTICC, Portugal

# PROGRAM COMMITTEE

---

**Marco Aiello**, University of Groningen, The Netherlands

**Mehmet Aksit**, University of Twente, The Netherlands

**Guglielmo de Angelis**, CNR - IASI, Italy

**Costin Badica**, University of Craiova, Romania

**Paul Bailes**, The University of Queensland, Australia

**Ayse Basar Bener**, Ryerson University, Canada

**Jan Blech**, RMIT University, Australia

**Carlos Canal**, University of Malaga, Spain

**Shiping Chen**, CSIRO ICT Centre Australia, Australia

**William Cheng-Chung Chu**, Tunghai University, Taiwan

**Rem Collier**, University College Dublin, Ireland

**Rebeca Cortazar**, University of Deusto, Spain

**Massimo Cossentino**, National Research Council, Italy

**Bernard Coulette**, University of Toulouse Jean Jaurès / IRIT Laboratory, France

**Mads Dam**, KTH - Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden

**Maya Daneva**, University of Twente, The Netherlands

**Mariangiola Dezani**, Università di Torino, Italy

**Tadashi Dohi**, Hiroshima University, Japan

**Mahmoud Elish**, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Saudi Arabia

**Angelina Espinoza**, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Iztapalapa (UAM-I), Spain

**Vladimir Estivill-Castro**, Griffith University, Australia

**Joerg Evermann**, Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada

**Maria João Ferreira**, Universidade Portucalense, Portugal

**Stéphane Galland**, Université de Technologie de Belfort Montbéliard, France

**Juan Garbajosa**, Technical University of Madrid, UPM, Spain

**Amjad Gawanmeh**, Khalifa University, U.A.E.

**Frédéric Gervais**, Université Paris-Est, LACL, France

**Vaidas Giedrimas**, Siauliai University, Lithuania

**Paolo Giorgini**, University of Trento, Italy

**Cesar Gonzalez-Perez**, Institute of Heritage Sciences (Incipit), Spanish National Research Council (CSIC), Spain

**Jose María Gutierrez**, Universidad De Alcalá, Spain

**Philipp Haller**, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden

**Jason O. Hallstrom**, Clemson University, U.S.A.

**Mahmoud EL Hamlaoui**, University of Mohammed V Rabat/University of Toulouse Jean Jaurès, France

**Rene Hexel**, Griffith University, Australia

**Benjamin Hirsch**, EBTIC / Khalifa University, U.A.E.

**Robert Hirschfeld**, Hasso-Plattner-Institut, Germany

**Charlotte Hug**, Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, France

**Zbigniew Huzar**, Wroclaw University of Technology, Poland

**Fuyuki Ishikawa**, National Institute of Informatics, Japan

**Stefan Jablonski**, University of Bayreuth, Germany

**Slinger Jansen**, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

**Dongwon Jeong**, Kunsan National University, Korea, Republic of

**Monika Kaczmarek**, University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany

**Georgia Kapitsaki**, University of Cyprus, Cyprus

**Stefan Koch**, Bogazici University, Turkey

# PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

---

**Nectarios Koziris**, National Technical University of Athens, Greece

**Robert S. Laramee**, Swansea University, U.K.

**Bogdan Lent**, The National Defence University, Switzerland

**Bixin Li**, Southeast University, China

**Huai Liu**, RMIT University, Australia

**Pericles Loucopoulos**, Manchester University, U.K.

**André Ludwig**, University of Leipzig, Germany

**Stephen MacDonell**, AUT University, New Zealand

**Leszek Maciaszek**, Wroclaw University of Economics, Poland and Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia

**Lech Madeyski**, Wroclaw University of Technology, Poland

**Nazim H. Madhavji**, University of Western Ontario, Canada

**Emilia Mendes**, Blekinge Institute of Technology, Sweden

**Alok Mishra**, Atilim University, Turkey

**Michael Mrissa**, University of Lyon, France

**Sascha Mueller-Feuerstein**, Ansbach University of Applied Sciences, Germany

**Antonio Navarro**, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain

**Andrzej Niesler**, Wroclaw University of Economics, Poland

**Andreas Oberweis**, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Germany

**Janis Osis**, Riga Technical University, Latvia

**Mourad Oussalah**, Laboratoire Lina Cnrs Fre 2729, University of Nantes, France

**Anna Perini**, Fondazione Bruno Kessler, Italy

**Ronald H. Perrott**, Oxford e-Research Centre, U.K.

**Dana Petcu**, West University of Timisoara, Romania

**Marcelo Pimenta**, UFRGS, Brazil

**Naveen Prakash**, MRCE, India

**Adam Przybylek**, Gdansk University of Technology, Poland

**Elke Pulvermueller**, University of Osnabrueck, Germany

**Lukasz Radlinski**, West Pomeranian University of Technology, Poland

**Philippe Roose**, LIUPPA/IUT de Bayonne/UPPA, France

**Antonio Ruiz-Cortés**, University of Seville, Spain

**Krzysztof Sacha**, Warsaw University of Technology, Poland

**Camille Salinesi**, University Paris 1 - Pantheon Sorbonne, France

**Markus Schatten**, University of Zagreb, Croatia

**Fabio A. Schreiber**, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

**Marcin Sikorski**, Gdansk University of Technology, Poland

**Josep Silva**, Universidad Politécnic de Valencia, Spain

**Ioana Sora**, Politehnica University of Timisoara, Romania

**Andreas Speck**, Christian-Albrechts-University Kiel, Germany

**Miroslaw Staron**, University of Gothenburg, Sweden

**Armando Stellato**, University of Rome, Tor Vergata, Italy

**Jakub Swacha**, University of Szczecin, Poland

**Bedir Tekinerdogan**, Bilkent University, Turkey

**Stephanie Teufel**, University of Fribourg, Switzerland

**Rainer Unland**, University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany

**Olegas Vasilecas**, Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, Lithuania

**Krzysztof Weceł**, Poznan University of Economics, Poland

**Bernhard Westfechtel**, University of Bayreuth, Germany

**Martin Wirsing**, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany

## PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

---

**Igor Wojnicki**, AGH University of Science and Technology, Poland

**Dinghao Wu**, The Pennsylvania State University, U.S.A.

**Kang Zhang**, The University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

**Alfred Zimmermann**, Reutlingen University, Germany

## AUXILIARY REVIEWERS

---

**Raul Barbosa**, University of Coimbra, Portugal

**Felice Cardone**, Università di Torino, Italy

**Ferruccio Damiani**, Università Degli Studi di Torino, Italy

**Octavio Martín-Díaz**, Universidad de Sevilla, Spain

**Henry Muccini**, University of L'Aquila, Italy

**Gianluca Palermo**, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

**Manuel Resinas**, Universidad de Sevilla, Spain

**José Miguel Cañete Valdeón**, Universidad de Sevilla, Spain

**Chen Wang**, CSIRO ICT Centre, Australia

## SPECIAL SESSION PROGRAM COMMITTEE

---

### SPECIAL SESSION ON MODEL-DRIVEN INNOVATIONS FOR SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

**Attila Adamko**, University of Debrecen, Hungary

**Gundars Alksnis**, Riga Technical University, Latvia

**Erika Asnina**, Riga Technical University, Latvia

**Giovanny Mauricio Tarazona Bermudez**, Distrital University Francisco José de Caldas, Colombia

**Cristina Pelayo Bustelo**, Oviedo University, Spain

**Rubén González Crespo**, International University of La Rioja, Spain

**Vicente Garcia Diaz**, Oviedo University, Spain

**Liliana Dobrica**, University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania

**Jordán Pascual Espada**, International University of La Rioja, Spain

**Petr Hnetynka**, Charles University, Czech Republic

**Zbigniew Huzar**, Wrocław University of Technology, Poland

**Pooyan Jamshidi**, Lero - The Irish Software Engineering Research Centre, Ireland

**Lajos Kollár**, University of Debrecen, Hungary

**Ricardo J. Machado**, Universidade do Minho, Portugal

**Carlos Enrique Montenegro Marín**, Distrital University Francisco José de Caldas, Colombia

**Janis Osis**, Riga Technical University, Latvia

**Raman Ramsin**, Sharif University of Technology, Iran, Islamic Republic of

**Luz Andrea Rodriguez Rojas**, The Liberators University, Colombia

# FOREWORD

---

This book contains the proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Evaluation of Novel Approaches to Software Engineering (ENASE 2015). This conference is sponsored by the Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control and Communication (INSTICC) in cooperation with the ACM Special Interest Group on Applied Computing (SIGAPP), the ACM Special Interest Group on Management Information Systems (SIGMIS), the ACM Special Interest Group on Software Engineering (SIGSOFT) and the Association for Enterprise Information (AFEI). ENASE 2015 is also technically co-sponsored by the IEEE Computer Society's Technical Council on Software Engineering (IEEE CS / TCSE).

The mission of ENASE is to be a prime international forum to discuss and publish research findings and IT industry experiences with relation to the evaluation of novel approaches to software engineering. The conference acknowledges necessary changes in systems and software thinking due to contemporary shifts of computing paradigm to e-services, cloud computing, mobile connectivity, business processes, and societal participation. By comparing novel approaches with established traditional practices and by evaluating them against systems and software quality criteria, ENASE conferences advance knowledge and research in software engineering, including and emphasizing service-oriented, business-process driven, and ubiquitous mobile computing. ENASE aims at identifying most hopeful trends and proposing new directions for consideration by researchers and practitioners involved in large-scale systems and software development, integration, deployment, delivery, maintenance and evolution.

The meeting is complemented with the Special Session on Model-Driven Innovations for Software Engineering (MDI4SE).

ENASE received 74 paper submissions, including the special session, from 34 countries, 41% of which were orally presented (18% as full papers) which shows the intention of preserving a high quality forum for the next editions of this conference.

The conference program includes a panel and three invited talks delivered by internationally distinguished speakers, namely: George Giaglis (Athens University of Economics and Business, Greece), Witold Staniszki (Rodan Development, Poland) and Martin Mocker (MIT, USA and Reutlingen University, Germany).

To recognize the best submissions and the best student contributions, several awards based on the combined marks of paper reviewing, as assessed by the Program Committee, and the quality of the presentation, as assessed by session chairs at the conference venue, are conferred at the closing session of the conference.

We would like to express our thanks to all participants. First of all, to the authors, whose quality work is the essence of this conference. Next, we thank all the members of the program committee and the auxiliary reviewers for their diligence and expert reviewing. We must

deeply thank the invited speakers for their excellent contribution in sharing their knowledge and vision.

We would like to thank the ENASE Area Co-chairs Mehmet Aksit, Schahram Dustdar, Stefan Schulte, Tommi Mikkonen, whose competence was essential for ensuring the technical quality of the conference and whose collaboration was very much appreciated. Finally, special thanks to all the members of the INSTICC team whose collaboration was fundamental for the success of this conference.

We wish you all an inspiring conference and an unforgettable stay at Barcelona, Spain. We hope to meet you again next year for the 11th ENASE at Rome, Italy.

**Joaquim Filipe**

Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal / INSTICC, Portugal

**Leszek Maciaszek**

Wroclaw University of Economics, Poland and Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia

# CONTENTS

---

## INVITED SPEAKERS

### KEYNOTE SPEAKERS

- Money-over-IP - From Bitcoin to Smart Contracts and M2M Money  
*George Giaglis* IS-5
- Empowering the Knowledge Worker - End-User Software Engineering in Knowledge Management  
*Witold Staniszkis* IS-7
- Complexity in the Digital Age - How can IT Help, not Hurt  
*Martin Mocker* IS-9

## SERVICE SCIENCE AND BUSINESS INFORMATION SYSTEMS

### FULL PAPER

- Software Engineering Standards and Guides for Very Small Entities - Implementation in Two Start-ups  
*Claude Y. Laporte, Rory V. O'Connor and Luis Hernán García Paucar* 5

### SHORT PAPERS

- A Simple Erlang API for Handling DDS Data Types and Quality of Service Parameters  
*Wafa Helali, Khaled Barbaria and Belhassen Zouari* 19
- A Survey of Cloud Computing Variable Pricing Models  
*Sahar Arshad, Saeed Ullah, Shoab Ahmed Khan, M. Daud Awan and M. Sikandar Hayat Khayal* 27
- Workflow Optimization through Business Reengineering for Tele-cardiac System  
*Farkhanda Rasheed and Shoab Ahmad Khan* 33
- Intelligent Broker - A Knowledge based Approach for Semantic Web Services Discovery  
*Mohamed El Kholy and Ahmed Elfatry* 39
- Toward a Model of Computation for Time-constrained Applications on Manycores  
*Stephane Louise* 45

## SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

### FULL PAPERS

- Computing Attributes of Software Architectures - A Static Method and Its Validation  
*Imen Derbel, Lamia Labeled Jilani and Ali Mili* 55
- Cost-effective Functional Testing of Reactive Software  
*R. Venkatesh, Ulka Shrotri, Amey Zare and Supriya Agrawal* 67
- Identifying Quality Characteristic Interactions during Software Development  
*Gabriel Alberto García-Mireles, Ma Ángeles Moraga de la Rubia, Félix García and Mario Piattini* 78

Using Personality Traits and a Spatial Ability Test to Identify Talented Aspiring Designers in User-Centred Design Methodologies <i>Farshid Anvari and Deborah Richards</i>	90
Consistency and Availability of Data in Replicated NoSQL Databases <i>Tadeusz Pankowski</i>	102
A Defect Dependency based Approach to Improve Software Quality in Integrated Software Products <i>Sai Anirudh Karre and Y. Raghu Reddy</i>	110
Automatic Matching of Software Component Requirements using Semi-formal Specifications and a CBSE Ontology <i>Andreas S. Andreou and Efi Papatheocharous</i>	118
Finding the Right Needles in Hay - Helping Program Comprehension of Large Software Systems <i>Ioana Şora</i>	129
A Case Study for Evaluating Bidirectional Transformations in QVT Relations <i>Bernhard Westfechtel</i>	141
<b>SHORT PAPERS</b>	
Effects of Geographical, Socio-cultural and Temporal Distances on Communication in Global Software Development during Requirements Change Management - A Pilot Study <i>Arif Ali Khan, Jacky Keung, Shahid Hussain and Kwabena Ebo Bennin</i>	159
A Real-time Scheduling of Reconfigurable OS Tasks with a Bottom-up SPL Design Approach <i>Hamza Gharsellaoui, Jihen Maazoun, Nadia Bouassida, Samir Ben Ahmed and Hanene Ben-Abdallah</i>	169
Constrained Agglomerative Hierarchical Software Clustering with Hard and Soft Constraints <i>Chun Yong Chong and Sai Peck Lee</i>	177
Simple, Not Simplistic - The Middleware of Behaviour Models <i>Vladimir Estivill-Castro and René Hexel</i>	189
Software Cost Estimation for Global Software Development - A Systematic Map and Review Study <i>Manal El Bajta, Ali Idri, José Luis Fernández-Alemán, Joaquín Nicolas Ros and Ambrosio Toval</i>	197
JETracer - A Framework for Java GUI Event Tracing <i>Arthur-Jozsef Molnar</i>	207
A Systematic Method for Architecture Recovery <i>Fritz Solms</i>	215
Architectural Challenges in Migrating Plan-driven Projects to Agile <i>Vinod Menon, Roopak Sinha and Stephen MacDonell</i>	223
FDMD: Feature-Driven Methodology Development <i>Rezvan Mahdavi-Hezave and Raman Ramsin</i>	229
Stochastic Analogues of Invariants - Martingales in Stochastic Event-B <i>Richard Banach</i>	238
Certification of IPavement Applications for Smart Cities - A Case Study <i>Jesús Ramon Oviedo, Moisés Rodríguez and Mario Piattini</i>	244
M2K - An Approach for an Object-oriented Model of C Applications <i>Ignacio Cassol and Gabriela Arévalo</i>	250

# Certification of IPavement Applications for Smart Cities

## A Case Study

Jesús Ramón Oviedo<sup>1</sup>, Moisés Rodríguez<sup>1</sup> and Mario Piattini<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Alarcos Quality Center, Paseo de la Universidad 4, 13071, Ciudad Real, Spain*

<sup>2</sup>*Institute of Information Technologies and Systems, University of Castilla–La Mancha, Camino de Moledores s/n, 13051, Ciudad Real, Spain*

*{jesus.oviedo, moises.rodriguez}@alarcosqualitycenter.com, mario.piattini@uclm.es*

**Keywords:** IPavement, ISO/IEC 25000, Smart Cities, Software Product Quality Evaluation.

**Abstract:** The installation of Intelligent Pavement (IPavement) in cities highlights the obvious need for the development of software services that can be offered by this technology. These services should be developed in conformance with international quality standards such as ISO/IEC 25000, which make it possible to give assurance that the services must established quality requirements. This paper therefore presents the environment for the quality certification of the services developed for the IPavement, created by the authors. This environment is formed by an assessment process, a quality model, and set of assessment tools. The results of a case study carried out to evaluate the quality of a service developed for IPavement are also set out; this study has tested the practical application of the environment created and has proven the need to develop tools to assist in the evaluation of the quality IPavement services.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

We are witnessing the rise of a new paradigm—the “cyber-digital-intelligent-smart” city-- which has emerged as a solution to the challenges of the twenty-first century: globalization, urbanization and climate change (Komninos, 2015).

It is precisely the meaning of digital city as an intelligent environment that fits in with the concept of the intelligent street; this is, indeed, the basis of intelligent cities. As Professor William Mitchell of MIT points out: “intelligent cities take advantage of the ‘third wave of technological innovation’, which means sensors and digital labels, and which will substitute the two previous waves as regards the incorporation of computers and the era of connectivity that the introduction of the Internet supposed” (Mitchell, 2007).

This same idea could include the concept of a city as a special case of the Internet of Things (Telefónica, 2011), in which a smart city is defined as “an urban space with intelligent infrastructures, networks and platforms, with millions of sensors and actuators, within which it is also necessary to include the people themselves and their mobile phones. A space that is capable of listening to and understanding what is happening in the city, which

will help it to make better decisions and provide its inhabitants with the appropriate information and services.

All of these considerations highlight the need for the development of new services from a different perspective, one that focuses on developing service-oriented software that would allow citizens to make the most of the advantages offered by intelligent cities (López-Sanz, et al., 2014). If city-dwellers are to appreciate more fully the benefits afforded by intelligent cities, it is very important for the services offered by them to comply with quality standards that are based on international norms such as ISO/IEC 25000 (ISO/IEC, 2014).

This being so, the remaining part of the paper is organised as follows: in section 2 there is a description of Intelligent Pavement (IPavement) technology, which turns the conventional city into an intelligent urban place that offers a set of services. Section 3 presents the environment that has been built to assess the quality of the services developed for the IPavement, while in section 4 the case study of the assessment of an IPavement service is described. Finally, section 5 sets out the conclusions obtained and provides work lines future.

## 2 INTELLIGENT PAVEMENT

The term ‘IPavement’ is currently applied to all paving that permits the propagation of radio frequency ‘within the street’s skin’, and is provided with ‘hotspots’ (service propagation points) which, over predetermined distances (normally a distance of 20 metres), are able to provide services according to the range of the repeater (Navarro and Piattini, 2013).

The IPavement is unusual in that it contains within it elements that are not typical of conventional paving (electrical, electronic, radio frequency, etc.) (see Figure 1), which means that in addition to carrying out its conventional function it fulfils a second function, which is to support the intelligent city’s service infrastructures.




Figure 1: Component of the Intelligent Pavement (before installation of connections and covering) Model 2010.

## 3 CERTIFICATION ENVIRONMENT

As pointed out above, it is of the utmost importance that the services developed for the IPavement should meet quality standards. It is to that end that the AQC laboratory (AQC Lab, lab to which the authors belong) an establishment that has accreditation for assessment of software product quality based on conformance with the ISO/IEC 25000 family (Verdugo et al., 2014), has been working. The laboratory has adapted a quality assessment environment (Rodríguez and Piattini, 2014) so as to be able to tackle assessment of the services developed by IPavement and assure that quality standards, such as those of the ISO/IEC 2500 family, are met.

### 3.1 IPavement Assessment Process

In order to take on the task of assessing the quality of IPavement services, it has been necessary to adapt the quality assessment environment of AQC Lab

(Rodríguez and Piattini, 2014), that is based on ISO/IEC 25040 (ISO, 2011a).

In carrying out this adaptation, a remodelling of the Assessment Methodology has been undertaken. This has led to the IPavement Methodology being composed of three processes, rather than of one single process, as in the Methodology of AQC Lab. This may be observed in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Processes of the IPavement Methodology.

It has also been deemed necessary to perform another adaptation, which consisted in making an addition to the **Quality Assessment Process**. The **Vía Inteligente** business entity was added as sponsor of the service, rather than as developer, Figure 3 show the steps needed to carry out the quality assessment of a service.




Figure 3: Implementation of the process of assessment of the quality of the services developed for IPavement.

### 3.2 IPavement Quality Model

The IPavement Model developed by AQC Lab (Montealegre et al., 2015), is based on the ISO/IEC 25010 (ISO/IEC, 2011b) norm. That being the case, the following characteristics defined in the ISO/IEC 25010 have been taken into account when assessing the different quality aspects of the services developed for the IPavement. In addition, the IPavement Model should make it possible to assess whether the services developed for the IPavement meet the requirements established by ASEPI (Agrupación Empresarial Innovadora Pavimento Inteligente The ‘Intelligent Pavement’ Innovative

Cluster) in the EPI standard (ASEPI, 2011), specifically in the EPI.C standard. It is to that end that a new characteristic was added to the IPavement model, one that is not defined in the ISO/IEC 25010 model. Its object is to assess the level of compliance with the requirements of the EPI.C standard.

In order to align this characteristic with those established in the ISO/IEC 25010 norm, a set of subcharacteristics have been defined for the characteristic of Conformity to the EPI. C standard: Intellectual Property, Data Protection, RFID Security and Safety, Security and Privacy of Geolocation and Multi-language support, that measures different aspects covered by the EPI.C standard (Navarro and Piattini, 2013).

Now it should be indicated how the assessment of these should be carried out. Nevertheless, the family of ISO/IEC 25000 norms does not as yet have a set of metrics or measurement functions at its disposal that would enable a quality value to be obtained for these characteristics and subcharacteristics. The scheme employed by AQC Lab in its quality models has therefore been followed (Rodríguez and Piattini, 2014). To carry this out, a set of quality properties and metrics that allow this model to be operative has been established. That means first of all obtaining the metrics values from the work-products and then going up the results until the value of the quality characteristics assessment is reached. For the sake of space, it is not possible to describe the complete IPavement model.

### 3.3 Technological Environment

The last element in tackling quality assessments for IPavement services is the technological environment of AQC Lab. The following tasks have been undertaken in carrying out the adaptation of the technological environment.

- **Measurement Tools:** New tools have been developed; these enable and facilitate measurement of the work products generated during the development of the IPavemnet services.
- **Evaluation System:** The quality assessment criteria and the IPavement quality model have been implemented, making it possible to carry out quality assessments automatically.
- **Visualization Environment:** A new visualization environment has been produced, so that the results obtained after the assessment of the IPavement services can be presented.

## 4 CASE STUDY

The case study describe the quality assessment of a service developed for IPavement using assessment enviorement described in the paper. The assessment was performed on the Viateca service. It is an e-commerce web platform for the buying and selling of e-books in ePub format; this carries within it a complete admin.

### 4.1 Devices Used in the Assessment

The assessment of Viateca was carried out on two different types of devices, their characteristics are displayed in Table 1, since one of the main characteristics of IPavement is the independence of the device for the use of its services. The service quality can, however, be affected by the type of device (screen size, processing ability, etc.) employed during the use of the service.

Table 1: Characteristics of Device 1 and Device 2.

Device	PC	Smartphone
Operating System	Windows 7 Enterprise Service Pack 1	Android 4.1.2
RAM Memory	8 GB	1 GB
Processor	AMD Phenom 64x4 945 3.00 GHz	Quad-core 1.2 GHz Cortex-A7

### 4.2 Assessment of Viateca

The quality results obtained are shown in Table 2, where the quality value reached for each characteristic in each of the devices employed in the assessment is indicated.

Table 2: Results of the characteristics in the assessment of Viateca.

Characteristic	Device 1	Device 2	Final Value
EPI. C	2	2	2
Usability	2	2	2
Reliability	4	4	4
Security	4	4	4
Functional Suitability	4	4	4
Portability	3	3	3
Compatibility	4	4	4
Performance Efficiency	5	5	5

The quality values obtained in both of the

assessments of Viateca are identical, due to the fact that Viateca was developed to be an IPavement service. These results have been obtained from the subcharacteristics of each characteristic, whose results are presented in the following sub-sections. It is true that the results of the assessments carried out on device 1 and 2 are identical, but in the following sections only the final results of the assessment.

**4.2.1 The EPI. C Standard**

In the assessment of the EPI. C standard, the subcharacteristics of Security and RFID Privacy and the Privacy of Geolocation were not taken into account. This was because Viateca does not offer functionalities related to RFID and Geolocation technologies.

In the assessment of the EPI.C Standard it has been demonstrated that Viateca has reached quality level 2 for this characteristic. This value was obtained from the quality results that its subcharacteristics have reached in the assessments conducted, the results of which are displayed in Table 3. On examining the results, it may be seen that the lack of support for enabling other languages to be chosen, and the non-availability of regional configurations other than those of Spain have led to the result obtained by Viateca for the characteristic of Compliance with the EPI.C Standard.

Table 3: Results of the Subcharacteristics of the EPI.C Standard.

Subcharacteristic	Result
Intellectual Property	100
Data Protection	100
RFID Security and Safety	-
Security and Privacy of Geolocation	-
Multi-language Support	0

**4.2.2 Usability**

To assess Usability, it has been shown that Viateca has reached quality level 2 for this characteristic. This value was attained on the basis of the quality results reached by its subcharacteristics in the

Table 4: Results of the Subcharacteristics of Usability.

Subcharacteristic	Result
Appropriateness recognisability	72,62
Learnability	72,62
Operability	50,00
User Error Protection	100
User Interface Aesthetics	46,37
Accessibility	0,00

assessments performed, the results of which may be seen in Table 4.

An examination of the results shows that the non-compliance with the accessibility standards, for example: (W3C, 2011) and (W3C, 2013), and along with the impossibility of customising the user interface, lie behind the results Viateca obtained for Usability.

**4.2.3 Reliability**

In the assessment of Reliability, Viateca was shown to have reached quality level 4 for this characteristic. This value was obtained on the basis of the quality results that its subcharacteristics reached in the assessments carried out, the results of which appear in Table 5.

On examining Table 5, it may be seen that the good results obtained by its subcharacteristics are the reason for the good result reached for the Reliability characteristic. Nonetheless, the Reliability level could increase, thereby improving the availability of the service. That is why mechanisms should be put in place to make it possible for the service to be used whenever the user wishes under the conditions established.

Table 5: Results of the Reliability Subcharacteristics.

Subcharacteristic	Result
Maturity	95,87
Fault Tolerance	100
Recoverability	100
Availability	87,79

**4.2.4 Security**

In the assessment of Security it has been established that Viateca has reached quality level 4 for this characteristic. This value was obtained on the basis of the quality results reached by its subcharacteristics in the assessments performed, the results of which are shown in Table 6.

On observing the information in Table 6, it may be seen that the good results achieved by the subcharacteristics lie behind the good result reached for the Security characteristic. Nevertheless, the Security level could increase and bring with it an

Table 6: Results of the Subcharacteristics of Security.

Subcharacteristic	Result
Confidentiality	100
Integrity	100
Non-Repudiation	100
Authenticity	50
Accountability	100

improvement in the authenticity of the service. To that end, mechanisms that enable there to be a strengthening of the measures to control access should be implemented. These mechanisms should also cover all the functionalities of the service that need to be protected by access control mechanisms.

#### 4.2.5 Functional Suitability

In the assessment of Functional Suitability, Viateca has demonstrated that it has reached quality level 4 for this characteristic. This value was obtained from the quality results achieved by its subcharacteristics in the assessments performed, the results of which are shown in Table 7.

An examination of Table 7 demonstrates that the good results achieved by the subcharacteristics led to the good result obtained for the characteristic of Functional Suitability. However, the Functional Suitability level could rise, with a consequent improvement in the Functional Appropriateness of the service. This means that the functionalities of the service which have not been described in the requirements, and which are therefore neither necessary nor appropriate for the user, should be eliminated.

Table 7: Results of Subcharacteristics of Functional Suitability.

Subcharacteristic	Result
Functional Completeness	95,87
Functional Correctness	98,23
Functional Appropriateness	93,81

#### 4.2.6 Portability

The assessment of the Portability showed that Viateca has reached quality level 3 for this characteristic. This value was obtained on the basis of the quality results that its subcharacteristics reached in the assessments performed, the results of which are set out in Table 8.

On examining the results in Table 8, it may be seen that the low result obtained in Adaptability due to lack of customization of the service, has led to the result obtained by Viateca for the Portability characteristic.

Table 8: Results of the Subcharacteristics of Portability.

Subcharacteristic	Result
Adaptability	38,13
Instalability	100
Replaceability	100

#### 4.2.7 Compatibility

Viateca was found to have reached quality level 4 for this characteristic in the assessment of Compatibility. This value was obtained from the quality results which its subcharacteristics reached in the assessments undertaken, the results of which may be seen in Table 9.

An examination of Table 9 leads us to affirm that the good results achieved by the subcharacteristics have led to the good result reached for the subcharacteristic of Compatibility. However, the Functional Suitability level could increase, thereby solving the problems detected in the exchange of service data with other services, such as e-mail.

Table 9: Results of the Subcharacteristics of Compatibility.

Subcharacteristic	Result
Co-existence	100
Interoperability	66,67

#### 4.2.8 Performance Efficiency

Viateca was shown to have reached quality level 5 for this characteristic. This value was obtained from the quality results achieved by its subcharacteristics in the assessments carried out, the results of which are set out in Table 10.

Table 10: Results of the Subcharacteristics of Performance.

Subcharacteristic	Result
Time-behaviour	100
Resource utilisation	97,71
Capacity	100

Table 10 shows, on examination, that the excellent results obtained by its subcharacteristics have caused the good result for the Performance characteristic. Thus, because level 5 has been reached, no other improvement in the service needs to be made to raise the quality level for that characteristic. However, if new assessments are undertaken for this service, this characteristic ought to be assessed along with the rest; it should be checked that the changes introduced into the service have not had negative consequences for this characteristic.

## 5 CONCLUSIONS

IPavement is innovative technology which places in the hands of citizens a set of services that make it

easier for them to be more integrated in the city. If these services are to be of any benefit they must meet some quality requirements. This paper has presented an environment for the quality assessment of services developed for IPavement. In addition, details on a case study carried out have been provided, to show the practical application of the quality assessment of a service.

During the development of the environment for the quality assessment of the services of IPavement, it was shown that the type of device employed to use the IPavement services could affect the different aspects related to quality of service. It was thus determined that a quality assessment for an IPavement service should consist of various assessments (one by type of device). After this, during the case study, it was observed:

- Quality assessment of the IPavement services is independent of the technology and language.
- IPavement services should have technical documentation.
- Evaluation is largely manual because there aren't tools to automate the assessment.
- Easy to introduce errors by the evaluator.

Bearing all these considerations in mind, we are working on the following lines:

- Increasing the set of devices on which to carry out the simulation and assessment of the services.
- Automating the assessment tasks as far as possible, aiming to reduce the time frames and improve the reliability of the results.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been funded by the ENVIA project by the (Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional FEDER and Ministerio de Industria, Energía y Turismo within in the National Plan for Scientific Research, Development and Technological Innovation 2008-2011 TSI-020302-2011-6), by the BIOPAVEMENT project (Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad CDTI-MINECO y Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional FEDER IDI-20120804) and by the GEODAS-BC project (Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional FEDER, TIN2012-37493-C03-01).

## REFERENCES

ASEPI, 2011. *Estándar EPI (El Pavimento Inteligente)*.

- EPI.C.- *Guía para los Proveedores de Soluciones Software*. Cuenca. EPI: 2011 v.1.
- IEEE, 2011. *Smart Cities, IEEE Computer*, Vol. 44, N° 6. IEEE Computer Society. Junio 2011.
- ISO/IEC, 2011a. *ISO/IEC 25040:2011. Systems and software engineering - Systems and software Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) - Evaluation process*. International Organization for Standardization. Geneva. Switzerland.
- ISO/IEC, 2011b. *ISO/IEC 25010:2011, Software engineering -Software product Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) - System and software quality model*. International Organization for Standardization n. Geneva. Switzerland.
- ISO/IEC, 2014. *ISO/IEC 25000 Software and system engineering – Software product Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) –Guide to SQuaRE*. International Organization for Standardization Ginebra, Suiza.
- Komninos, N., 2015. *The age of intelligent cities: Smart environments and innovation-for-all strategies*. UK, Routledge.
- López-Sanz, M., de Castro, V., and Marcos, E, 2014. *An Architecture-Centric Process for Service Oriented Systems Development: Developing for the Intelligent Pavement*. Proceedings of the 2014 Latin American Computing Conference (CLEI 2014), pp: 648-656.
- Mitchell, W. J., 2007. *Intelligent cities. Inaugural Lecture of the UOC 2007-2008 Academic Year*. Universitat Oberta de Catalunya.
- Montealegre, A., Rodríguez, M. and Piattini, M., 2014 *Quality Model for IPavement's Applications: Evaluating Usability*. Submitted to IEEE Latin America Transaction.
- Navarro, F. And Piattini, M, 2013. *IPavement. El Pavimento Inteligente*. Starbook.
- Rodríguez, M. and Piattini, M., 2014. *Entorno para la Evaluación y Certificación de la Calidad del Producto Software*, in XIX Jornadas de Ingeniería del Software y Bases de Datos JISBD'2014. 2014: Cadiz. p. 163-176.
- Telefónica, 2011. *Smart Cities: a first step to the Internet of Things (In Spanish)*. Fundación Telefónica, Editorial Ariel, Madrid.
- Verdugo, J., Rodríguez, M. and Piattini, M., 2014. *Using Agile Methods to Implement a Laboratory for Software Product Quality Evaluation*. XP2014: 15th International Conference on Agile Software Development. Rome: 143 -156.
- W3C 2011. *Cascading Style Sheets (CSS) Snapshot 2010*. Retrieved March 2013, from <http://www.w3.org/TR/2011/NOTE-css-2010-20110512/>.
- W3C. 2013. *HTML: The Markup Language (an HTML language reference)*. Retrieved March 2013, from <http://www.w3.org/TR/html-markup/>.